

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES

(Peer-reviewed, Refereed, Indexed & Open Access Journal)

DOI:03.2021-11278686

ISSN: 2582-8568

IMPACT FACTOR : 5.71 (SJIF 2021)

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF PRIVATE BANKS AND FOREIGN BANKS IN INDIA: AN ANALYSIS USING CAMELS AND GRA MODELS

Mr. SIDDALINGESHWARA S.

Mr. SUJAYA KUMAR S.

Master of Business Administration, PES University, Bangalore (Karnataka) E-mail:siddalingeshwaras94@gmail.com Research Scholar, Department of Studies and Research in Commerce, Vijayanagara Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Ballari (Karnataka) E-mail:shivapursujay@gmail.com

DOI No. 03.2021-11278686 DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/09.2021-49744443/IRJHIS2109001

Abstract:

The Indian banking area has been the foundation of the Indian economy in the course of recent many years, assisting it with enduring Foreign and overall monetary stuns and emergencies. It is perhaps the best entertainer on the planet banking industry seeing huge seriousness, development, proficiency, productivity and sufficiency, particularly in the present days. Assessing Indian banks is certainly not a simple assignment. There are different variables which should be taken consideration, different models have been talked about for assessing the exhibition and nature of Banksand one such model are CAMELS and GRA. This paper focused selected private and foreign banks in India. This is study focused on Secondary data from 2015 to 2019 and dataanalyzed by using of SPSS-Regression Analysis.

Keywords: CAMELS, Private, Banking, GRA, NPA, Economy etc.

INTRODUCTION:

The financial area has been going through a complex, however far reaching period of rebuilding since 1991, so as to make it sound, effective, and simultaneously it is fashioning its connections solidly with the genuine area for advancement of reserve funds, speculation and development albeit a total turnaround in financial area execution isn't normal till the culmination of changes, indications of progress are apparent in certain pointers under CAMELS outline work. Under this, bank is needed to upgrade capital, fortify asset quality, improve the management, raising

earnings to different monetary problems. The practically synchronous nature of these advancements makes it hard to unravel the positive effect of change measures. During the 1980s, CAMELS rating framework was first presented by U.S administrative specialists as an arrangement of rating for without hesitation assessments of banking foundations. Under this framework, which banking organization subject to without hesitation assessment is assessed based on 6 basic measurements identifying with its tasks and execution, which are refer to segment factors. These are CAMEL factors used to mirror the monetary presentation, monetary condition, routineness protests of the banking finance.

In 1994, the RBI set up the leading body of monetary management, which works as unit of the RBI. The whole administrative component was realigned to suit the changing requirements of a solid and stable monetary framework. The administrative purview of the BFS was gradually reached out to the whole monetary framework bearing the capital market establishments and the protection area. Its order is to fortify management of the monetary framework by incorporating over sight of the exercises of monetary firms. The BFS has additionally settled a sub-council to regularly inspect reviewing practices, quality and inclusions.

In 1995, RBI had set up a functioning gathering under the chairmanship of Shri S. Padmanabhan to audit the financial oversight framework. The advisory group gave certain proposals and dependent on such ideas a rating framework for homegrown and unfamiliar banks dependent on the global CAMELS model consolidating monetary administration and affectability to showcase hazards component was presented for the investigation cycle starting from July 1998. Various huge changes have happened in the Indian Banking Sector. Changes achieved a hardened contest among various classes of banks both at Foreign and global level. It likewise expanded the productivity of Indian Banking Industry. A proficient and beneficial financial framework is likewise needed for supportable monetary turn of events. Consequently, analysis of monetary execution of banks involves incredible premium in the field of scholarly just as industrial examination.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM:

Banks are the important element of the financial sector that facilitates the appropriatedeployment of the financial resources of a country. The banking industry is rapidly mounting and also witnessing a massive investment flow. To cope with risk exposure to the banking system, it is important to evaluate the performance of the banks by using a regulatory banking supervision model. In general, there are various ratings that are available to assess the financial performance of the banks. But no model is as scientific and evident as CAMELS and GRA model. It takes into account all the major factors which are responsible for the efficient performance of the banks. Based on the "CAMELS" and GRA model, in this paper we have made an effort to study the comparative financial performances among selected private and foreign banks.

NEED OF THE STUDY:

In the present era of rapid economic progress, it is important to know how banks are performing and contributing towards the economy. In India, after liberalization private banks have occupied most of the space. So here through this research an attempt will made to study the comparative financial performance of private and Foreign Banks.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY:

- To analyze the financial performance of selected private banks and foreign banks using CAMELS and GRA models.
- To suggest the necessary measures on improving financial performances of banks under this study.

imar

> To rank the banks on the basis of CAMELS and GRA models.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:

Thisstudy is purely based on Secondary data collected from Foreign and Private Banks 10 each. The data is collected from 2015 to 2019. Following are the ratios used for evaluation of performance of Private and Foreign Banks. And rank is allotted to banks based on performance evaluation by using CAMELS and GRA models. And, the present study tested the following hypothesis:

H0: There is no significant difference in selected private banks and foreign banks.

H1: There is a significant difference in selected private banks and foreign banks.

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION:

NAME OF DANKS		Y	ADV TO TOTAL	G-SEC TO TOTAL		
TABLE OF DAILING	CAR	DER	ASSETS	INVT	MEAN	RANK
AXIS BANK	13	15	9	19	14	19
CITY UNION BANK	10	14	1	2	6.75	4
FEDERAL BANK	15	16	5	14	12.5	1 6
HDFC BANK	12	12	7	15	11.5	11
ICICI BANK	7	10	12	20	12.25	14
INDUSIND BANK	16	11	6	12	11.25	9
KARNATAKA BANK	19	19	8	16	15.5	20
KARUR VYSYA BANK	17	17	2	6	10.5	7
LAKSHMI VILAS BANK	20	20	3	7	12.5	16
YES BANK	8	18	11	18	13.75	18

TABLE 2 COMPOSITE OF CAPITAL ADEQUACY

AMERICAN EXPRESS										
BANKING CORPORATION	4	3	10	1	4.5	2				
BANK OF AMERICA	5	5	18	17	11.25	9				
BANK OF BAHRAIN AND										
KUWAIT	3	4	4	3	3.5	1				
CITI BANK	10	9	17	8	11	8				
DBS BANK LTD	8	13	15	10	11.5	11				
DEUTSCHE BANK	14	7	13	4	9.5	6				
DOHA BANK	2	1	16	5	6	3				
HSBC BANK	6	8	19	13	11.5	11				
ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND	1	2	20	9	8	5				
STANDARD CHARTERED										
BANK	18	10612	114	11	12.25	14				
DEEDDDEE A TION STILL	inal as a									

INTERPRETATION:

Considering Capital adequacy parameter of CAMELS rating system Bank of Bahrain and Kuwait has been ranked first position and Karnataka Bank has been ranked last position. As this ratio reflects the overall financial position of the bank and it is important to maintain sufficient capital to overcome any possible losses Bank of Bahrain and Kuwait happens to maintain sufficient capital every year.

TABLE 3 COMPOSITE OF ASSET QUALITY

1.2 211	NNPA's/	NPA's/	Tot INV /	N.	
1 E	ADV	ADV T	Tot ASS	2	
NAME OF BANKS	Ratio	RATIO	Ratio	MEAN	RANK
AXIS BANK	19	16	9 5	14.67	18
CITY UNION BANK	9	11	2	7.33	5
FEDERAL BANK	12	12	511	11.67	10
HDFC BANK	15	15	10	13.33	16
ICICI BANK	16	19	8	14.33	17
INDUSIND BANK	13	20	4	12.33	11
KARNATAKA BANK	11	14	14	13.00	14
KARUR VYSYA BANK	20	17	7	14.67	18
LAKSHMI VILAS BANK	17	10	12	13.00	14
YES BANK	18	13	13	14.67	18

AMERICAN EXPRESS					
BANKING CORPORATION	5	5	6	5.33	3
BANK OF AMERICA	1	1	18	6.67	4
BANK OF BAHRAIN AND					
KUWAIT	14	18	5	12.33	11
CITI BANK	6	3	19	9.33	7
DBS BANK LTD	7	7	16	10.00	8
DEUTSCHE BANK	4	4	3	3.67	2
DOHA BANK	2	2	1	1.67	1
HSBC BANK	3	6	17	8.67	6
ROYAL BANK OF	Hun	mander			
SCOTLAND	10	840	20	12.67	13
STANDARD CHARTERED			D'		
BANK	8	9	15	10.67	9

INTERPRETATION:

Maintaining non-performing assets at lowest level should be the major concern of every bank. Keeping this in mind, ranking has been done twenty banks and the results says that Doha Bank has been ranked first position as its non-performing assets were low every year and Axis Bank, KarurVysya Bank and Yes Bank have been ranked last position since it is failed in managing nonperforming assets which in turn affected its profitability.

NAME OF BANKS	EXP TO INC	ADV TO DEP	ATR	PPE	BPE	MEAN	RANK
AXIS BANK	11	5	11	7	4	7.6	7
CITY UNION BANK	19	12	6	6	12	11	10
FEDERAL BANK	10	14	12	8	7	10.2	9
HDFC BANK	5	9	2	2	3	4.2	1
ICICI BANK	9	2	1	5	5	4.4	2
INDUSIND BANK	7	4	4	4	9	5.6	3
KARNATAKA BANK	12	16	8	11	10	11.4	11
KARUR VYSYA BANK	13	13	5	10	8	9.8	8

TABLE 4 COMPOS<mark>ITE O</mark>F MANAGEMENT EFFICIENCY

LAKSHMI VILAS BANK	16	15	7	20	11	13.8	16
YES BANK	8	3	9	15	2	7.4	6
AMERICAN EXPRESS							
BANKING CORPORATION	15	1	3	18	20	11.4	11
BANK OF AMERICA	1	8	19	13	18	11.8	14
BANK OF BAHRAIN AND							
KUWAIT	20	10	16	14	15	15	18
CITI BANK	2	19	10	12	17	12	15
DBS BANK LTD	17	7	17	19	14	14.8	17
DEUTSCHE BANK	4	6	14	3	6	6.6	5
DOHA BANK	14	17	18	17	19	17	19
HSBC BANK	03	18	15	09	13	11.6	13
ROYAL BANK OF		185		10	201		
SCOTLAND	18	20	20	16	16	18	20
STANDARD CHARTERED		200			0	2.	
BANK	6	11	13	1	1	6.4	4

INTERPRETATION:

Judging a bank's soundness just by analyzing its financial statements might lead to false conclusions. Hence under Management efficiency parameter of CAMELS model every bank's management efficiency has been verified with the help of few ratios and ranks has been given accordingly. HDFC Bank has taken up the first rank and Royal Bank of Scotland has been given last rank based on their management efficiency.

G .

TABLE 5 COMPOSITE OF EARNINGSABILITY

	NPR	Jŀ	INT INC TO	INTEREST	<	
NAME OF BANKS	MARGIN	ROE/ROI	TINC	SPREAD	MEAN	RANK
AXIS BANK	11	2	15	11	9.75	8
CITY UNION BANK	8	3	7	6	6	2
FEDERAL BANK	12	7	2	14	8.75	5
HDFC BANK	5	1	10	3	4.75	1
ICICI BANK	9	4	17	12	10.5	11

INDUSIND BANK	7	8	16	8	9.75	8
KARNATAKA BANK	13	9	6	16	11	14
KARUR VYSYA						
BANK	14	6	5	1	6.5	3
LAKSHMI VILAS						
BANK	18	10	3	19	12.5	16
YES BANK	16	5	11	15	11.75	15
AMERICAN						
EXPRESS BANKING						
CORPORATION	17	17	20	18	18	20
BANK OF AMERICA	5	H ¹⁴	19	9	10.75	12
BANK OF BAHRAIN	221 01	1	unite.	5.		
AND KUWAIT	10	19	8	13	12.5	16
CITI BANK	2	11	18	2	8.25	4
DBS BANK LTD	19	18	4	20	15.25	18
DEUTSCHE BANK	4	16	13	5	9.5	7
DOHA BANK	15	20	9	17	15.25	18
HSBC BANK	3	13	12	7	8.75	5
ROYAL BANK OF				15	III III	
SCOTLAND	20	12	1	10	10.75	12
STANDARD				1	2	
CHARTERED BANK	6	15	14	4	9.75	8
NTEDDDETATION.				50%		

INTERPRETATION:

Taking earnings ability parameter into consideration, HDFC Bank has occupied first rank as its profitability is consistent over years and American Express Banking Corporation occupied the last place as it couldn't cope up with good earnings.

		G-SEC				
		ТО	INVT	INT EXP		
	C D	TOTAL	ТО	TO INT		
NAME OF BANKS	RATIO	ASSET	DEP	EARN	MEAN	RANK
AXIS BANK	13	18	9	12	13	19
CITY UNION BANK	4	15	1	14	8.5	3

TABLE 6 COMPOSITE OF LIQUIDITY

www.irjhis.com ©2	2021 IRJHIS Vol	ume 2 Issue 9 Sep	otember 2021 IS	SSN 2582-8568	Impact Factor 5.71

FEDERAL BANK	2	13	5	16	9	7
HDFC BANK	14	14	8	8	11	13
ICICI BANK	10	19	11	10	12.5	15
INDUSIND BANK	9	17	7	13	11.5	14
KARNATAKA BANK	6	11	6	19	10.5	10
KARUR VYSYA BANK	7	10	2	15	8.5	3
LAKSHMI VILAS BANK	3	8	4	20	8.75	6
YES BANK	5	16	12	17	12.5	15
AMERICAN EXPRESS						
BANKING CORPORATION	17	7	17	9	12.5	15
BANK OF AMERICA	11	5	18	3	9.25	8
BANK OF BAHRAIN AND	1011	* currect	ulues.			
KUWAIT	8	9	3	U II	7.75	2
CITI BANK	16	2	15		8.5	3
DBS BANK LTD	12	4	16	18	12.5	15
DEUTSCHE BANK	15	12	10	5	10.5	10
DOHA BANK	19	20	19	7	16.25	20
HSBC BANK	1	3	14	6	6	1
ROYAL BANK OF	2		107		na	
SCOTLAND	20	1	20	2	10.75	12
STANDARD CHARTERED			14		0/	
BANK	18	6	13	4 8	10.25	9

INTERPRETATION:

After analysing the liquidity position of above selected commercial banks by using certain ratios it is found out that HSBC Bank has good records of maintaining its liquidity and has been ranked first position and Doha Bank has ranked last position.

NAME OF BANKS	С	А	Μ	E	L	MEAN	RANK
AXIS BANK	19	18	7	8	19	14.20	2
CITY UNION BANK	4	5	10	2	3	4.80	20
FEDERAL BANK	16	10	9	5	7	9.40	11
HDFC BANK	11	16	1	1	13	8.40	15
ICICI BANK	14	17	2	11	15	11.80	8

TABLE 7 OVERALL PERFORMANCES OF BANKS

INDUSIND BANK	9	11	3	8	14	9.00	13
KARNATAKA BANK	20	14	11	14	10	13.80	3
KARUR VYSYA BANK	7	18	8	3	3	7.80	16
LAKSHMI VILAS							
BANK	16	14	16	16	6	13.60	5
YES BANK	18	18	6	15	15	14.40	1
AMERICAN EXPRESS							
BANKING							
CORPORATION	2	3	11	20	15	10.20	9
BANK OF AMERICA	9	4	14	12	8	9.40	11
BANK OF BAHRAIN							
AND KUWAIT	1	f Irlu	111811	16	2	9.60	10
CITI BANK	18	7	15	4	3	7.40	17
DBS BANK LTD	11	8	17	18	15	13.80	3
DEUTSCHE BANK	6	2	5	7	10	6.00	19
DOHA BANK	3	1	19	18	20	12.20	7
HSBC BANK	11	6	13	5	1	7.20	18
DOVAL DANK OF							
RUYAL BANK OF		1		10		10	
SCOTLAND	5	13	20	12	12	12.40	6
SCOTLAND STANDARD	5	13	20	12	12	12.40	6

Figure 1 Overall performance of banks



Analysis and Interpretation:

Above table depicts the group ranking of the selected private banks and foreign banks (10 banks in each) in India for the period of 5 years from 2014-15 to 2018-19 and results show that Yes Bank is at the top positions followed by the Axis Bank and Karnataka Bank. City Union Bank secured the least position. On the basis of Camel rating, top seven banks are considered the most stable banks, next six banks are considered average, and the remaining last seven banks are considered below average, and are closely monitored to ensure their viability.

NAME OF BANKS	TOTAL	GRG	RANKING
AXIS BANK	11.68558	0.58428	13
CITY UNION BANK	12.29255	0.61463	9
FEDERAL BANK	11.89363	0.59468	11
HDFC BANK	12.76165	0.63808	4
ICICI BANK	11.46459	0.57323	18
INDUSIND BANK	11.75309	0.58765	12
KARNATAKA BANK	11.49698	0.57485	16
KARUR VYSYA BANK	12.48491	0.62425	7
LAKSHMI VILAS BANK	11.59682	0.57984	15
YES BANK	11.49203	0.5746	17
AMERICAN EXPRESS BANKING CORPORATION	12.74011	0.63701	5
BANK OF AMERICA	12.72643	0.63632	6
BANK OF BAHRAIN AND KUWAIT	11.41125	0.57056	19
CITI BANK	13.0207	0.65103	3
DBS BANK LTD	11.63495	0.58175	14
DEUTCHE BANK	13.25879	0.66294	2
DOHA BANK	12.15689	0.60784	10
HSBC BANK	12.40696	0.62035	8
ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND	11.36465	0.56823	20
STANDARD CHARTERED BANK	13.4303	0.67152	1

TABLE 8 GREY RELATIONAL GRADE ANALYSIS RANKING



Figure 2 Overall performance of banks with GRA model

Interpretation:

Grey Relation Grade is calculated as per the methodology and the banks are ranked based on Grey Relation Degree. It is calculating sum of all the parameters dividing total number of parameters. When the banks ranked according to the Grey Relational Grades, Standard Chartered Bank ranks first with its grade of 0.6712, followed by Deutsche Bank and City Bank with the grades 0.6629 and 0.6510 respectively. Last rank is obtained Royal Bank of Scotland with Grey Relation Grade of 0.5683.

Result for the Regression with chosen variables of banks:

SPSS Analysis:

		Model Summary						
				Adjusted R	Std. Error of the			
_	Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate			
	1	.989 ^a	.977	.969	1.04517			
	a. Predict	a. Predictors: (Constant), Liquidity, Asset, Management, Capital,						
	Earnings							

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	661.507	5	132.301	121.112	.000 ^b
	Residual	15.293	14	1.092		
	Total	676.800	19			

a. Dependent Variable: Rank

b. Predictors: (Constant), Liquidity, Asset, Management, Capital, Earnings

			Standardized		
	Unstandardized Coefficients		Coefficients		
	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	30.672	.992		30.926	.000
Capital	363	.053	352	-6.809	.000
Asset	399	.054	376	-7.412	.000
Management	367	.059	364	-6.221	.000
Earnings	474	.061	465	-7.725	.000
Liquidity	383	.055	370	-6.969	.000
	(Constant) Capital Asset Management Earnings Liquidity	Unstandardize B (Constant) 30.672 Capital363 Asset399 Management367 Earnings474 Liquidity383	Unstandardized CoefficientsBStd. Error(Constant)30.672.992Capital363.053Asset399.054Management367.059Earnings474.061Liquidity383.055	Standardized Unstandardized CoefficientsBStd. ErrorBeta(Constant)30.672.992Capital363.053352Asset399.054376Management367.059364Earnings474.061465Liquidity383.055370	Standardized Standardized Unstandardized Coefficients Coefficients B Std. Error Beta t (Constant) 30.672 .992 30.926 Capital 363 .053 352 -6.809 Asset 399 .054 376 -7.412 Management 367 .059 364 -6.221 Earnings 474 .061 465 -7.725 Liquidity 383 .055 370 -6.969

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: Rank

The regression results R Square is .989, which is a significant value. It shows that 98.9 percent of the performance of the bank is explained by the six independent variables. The rest of the performance can be attributed to other factors. The regression results in table indicate that all the factors coefficient 0.000. The ANOVA results depict that an insignificant model emerged. The ANOVA results has been exhibited in table. It shows that the F statistic is 121.112, while the p value is .000 and lesser than the significance value of 0.005 at 5 percent level of significance.

CONCLUSION:

This paper makes an attempt to study and compare the performance evaluation of the 10 private banks and 10 foreign banks in India. This analysis is based on the CAMELS rating system and also GRA model. In evaluating the function of the banks, many of the developed countries are now following CAMELS framework. Different banks are ranked according to the ratings obtained by them on the six parameters, and very less number of countries following the GRA model.

Although twenty standard ratios are used for the study. In this study considered ten private and foreign banks each for a period of 5years from 2015 to 2019. The studied showed that there was not much significant difference in the performance of the banks. On the basis of overall performance of banks under CAMELS model YES bank and DBS bank ltd. secured the first position followed by Karnataka bank ltd. and City Union Bank secured the last position with its poor performance in CAMELS ratios. And Standard Chartered Bank secured first position followed by Deutsche Bank and Royal Bank of Scotland secured the last position in GRA model.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Rostami, Malihe (2015). Camels' Analysis in Banking Industry. November
- 2. Zedan, Khaled A., et al. (2017). Palestinian Banks Analysis Using CAMEL Model. no. 1, pp. 351–57.
- 3. Rostami, Malihe. (2018), Determination of Camels Model on Bank's Performance. 2015, pp. 652–64.
- 4. Kumar, Vinod, et al. (2017). A CAMEL MODEL ANALYSIS OF. no. July, pp. 87-93.
- 5. Krishna, Tata Hari, et al. (2016), Evaluation of Performance of Indian Banks by Using. no. 1, pp. 29–36.