

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF **HUMANITIES AND INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES**

(Peer-reviewed, Refereed, Indexed & Open Access Journal)

DOI: 03.2021-11278686 ISSN: 2582-8568

A STUDY OF EFFECT OF FAMILY CLIMATE ON MENTAL HEALTH OF RURAL AND URBAN B.ED. TEACHER-TRAINEES

Ms. Baby Zoofishan

Research Scholar. Department of B.Ed. / M.Ed. Faculty of Education and Allied Sciences, M.J.P. Rohilkhand University, Bareilly (Uttar Pradesh, India)

Dr. Pratibha Sagar

IMPACT FACTOR: 5.828 (SJIF 2022)

Assistant Professor. Department of B.Ed./M.Ed. Faculty of Education and Allied Sciences, M.J.P. Rohilkhand University, Bareilly (Uttar Pradesh, India)

DOI No. 03.2021-11278686 DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/10.2022-94279173/IRJHIS2210011

Abstract:

The purpose of this study is to find out the effect of family climate on mental health of B.Ed. teacher-trainees in relation to their locality. Descriptive survey method was used for this study. Sample consisting 160 B.Ed. teacher-trainees was selected by using random sampling technique from four B.Ed. colleges of Bareilly district. Family Climate Scale developed by Harpreet Bhatia & N.K. Chadha (2019) and Mental Health Inventory developed by C.D. Aagshe and R.D. Helode (2008) used for data collection. Data was analyzed using Mean, S.D. and ANOVA (F-test). Finding reveals that there is significant effect of family climate on mental health of rural and urban B.Ed. teacher trainees on some dimensions and there was no significant interactions effect of different dimension of family climate and locality on mental health of B.Ed. teacher-trainees.

Keywords: Family Climate, Mental Health, Locality, B.Ed. teacher-trainees.

Introduction:

The family is traditionally seen as the basic foundation of society. Generally, family can be seen as a group of people who have biological, emotional or legal ties to each other. A family is a child's first experience of relationships generally occurs within the family. It is a small intimate group of basic setting within which most children come in contact with society where they learn how to behave within a society and outside world. Family is the fundamental group of society which provides the natural environment for the growth and well being of all its members. The rising incidence of behavioural problems among adolescents demonstrates that some families are unable to cope with the increasing stresses they are experiencing. It is more important to have a harmonious IRJHIS2210011 | International Research Journal of Humanities and Interdisciplinary Studies (IRJHIS) | 83 family climate. Psychologists have consistently proved that the proper development of the child is impossible without a good family climate or home environment.

Family as a social unit is an important determinant for shaping one's mental capacities along with their physical and social structure. The family climate is influenced by a number of factors like the nature of families' constellation, number of family members, marital relationship, parental employment and income, sibling relationship and socio-economic and religious background of the family. The family climate processes a certain consistency by which there is a impact of the same basic values, individuals, material objects etc. on the family members.

Mental health is an important determinant of one's integrated personality and balanced behaviour, identified on the basis of the level of his/her adjustment to own self, others and environment (Kaur et al., 2015). A mentally healthy individual can adjust properly with environment, family and contribute for the society's progress and betterment (Lewkan, 1993). Mental health is the adjustment of human being with the world and also with one another to the maximum of effectiveness and happiness. It is the ability to maintain socially considerate behaviour, a happy disposition, an even temper and alert intelligence (Meninger, 2004).

Formal definition of locality is "A small area of country or city is called locality." These are two types of locality urban and rural. Urban area have many facilities like transport, electricity, safe & clean drinking water, many school, college and university, coaching facilities etc. Rural area has not proper facilities of citizen/people. So rural area less developed than urban area.

Family climate plays an important role in every field of student's life. In this specific context the present research will undertaken to specifically provide empirical answers of these questions like what is role of family climate on mental health of rural and urban B.Ed. teacher-trainees. A study was conducted on mental health of secondary school students in relation to family climate by Singh and Devi (2018). Findings of this study revealed that there was significant positive relationship of mental health with family climate. Similarly, Shivanae (2011) reported significant difference of family climate and mental health of tribal and urban secondary school students.

Review of related literature reveals that various studies had been conducted family climate and mental health in relation to various variables such as academic achievement, anxiety, lifesatisfaction, occupational aspiration, intelligence, adjustment, adolescent-period etc. But it was found that no study has been conducted effect of family climate on mental health of B.Ed. teacher trainees in relation to locality. Hence the researcher has decided to undertake, the study of effect of family climate on mental health of B.Ed. teacher trainees in relation to locality.

Objectives of the Study:

1. To explore the effect of different dimension of family climate on mental health of B.Ed. teachertrainees.

- 2. To explore the effect of different dimension of family climate on mental health of rural and urban B.Ed. teacher-trainees.
- 3. To study the interaction effect of different dimensions of family climate and locality on mental health of B.Ed. teacher-trainees.

Hypothesis of the Study:

- 1. There is no significant effect of different dimensions of family climate on mental health of B.Ed. teacher-trainees.
- 2. There is no significant effect of different dimensions of family climate on mental health of rural and urban B.Ed. teacher-trainees.
- 3. There is no interaction effect of different dimensions of family climate and locality on mental health of B.Ed. teacher-trainees.

Research Design & Methodology:

The researcher used descriptive survey method. Population of the present study consists of all the teacher-trainees of B.Ed. colleges affiliated to M.J.P. Rohilkhand University, Bareilly. Sample of 160 teacher-trainees of four B.Ed. colleges of Bareilly district selected with the help of simple random sampling techniques. **Family Climate Scale (FCS)** developed by Harpreet Bhatia & N.K. Chadha (2019) and **Mental Health Inventory (MHI)** developed by C.D. Aagshe and R.D. Helode (2008) were used for the study. Data were analysed using Mean, S.D., and F-test (ANOVA).

Data Analysis and Interpretation:

Hypothesis 1: There is no significant effect of different dimension of family climate on mental health of B.Ed. teacher-trainees.

Table 1.1: Descriptive statistics of mental health of rural and urban B.Ed. teacher-trainees.

Dimensions of Family Climate	Family Climate Group	Locality	N S	Mean	S.D.
		R	8	21.50	3.665
	Good FC	U	12	23.75	4.731
		R	41	22.17	3.270
	Average FC	U	70	22.36	3.920
1. COHESION		R	11	20.64	3.695
	Bad FC	U	18	19.61	3.328
		R	1	21.00	0
	Good FC	U	7	23.00	3.559
2. EXPRESSIVENESS		R	44	21.86	3.689
2. EAFRESSIVENESS	Average FC	U	67	22.00	4.369

		R	15	21.67	2.582
	Bad FC	U	26	21.85	3.461
		R	2	21.00	5.657
	Good FC	U	7	26.14	4.298
		R	48	21.94	3.411
	Average FC	U	68	22.04	4.031
3. CONFLICT		R	10	21.30	3.268
	Bad FC	U	25	20.84	3.472
		R	0	0	0
	Good FC	U	8	23.88	5.222
		R	44	21.82	3.473
4. ACCEPTANCE AND	Average FC	Umit:	68	22.15	3.986
CARING	0,0	R	16	21.75	3.276
10011	Bad FC	U	24	21.08	3.833
	-	R	2	24.00	1.414
1 5 1	Good FC	U	5	24.80	4.324
25 1/		R	27	22.63	3.712
2 V	Average FC	U	40	22.62	4.488
5. INDEPENDENCE	11.0100010	R	31	20.94	2.988
E S	Bad FC	U	55	21.35	3.612
1.2	Buu T C	R	11	22.64	3.107
12	Good FC	U	24	24.71	3.581
6. ACTIVE-	Good Te	R	32	21.53	3.698
RECREATIONAL	Average FC	U	60	21.38	3.945
ORIENTATION	Tivetage 1 e	R	17	21.76	3.052
ORIENTATION	Bad FC	U	16	20.44	3.577
	Bad I C	R	21	21.38	2.500
	Good FC	U	38	22.21	4.749
	GOOG I'C	R	18	23.67	3.481
7. ORGANIZATION	Ayaraga EC	U	36	22.33	3.481
	Average FC				
	Dod EC	R	21	20.62	3.556
	Bad FC	U	26	21.35	3.949
0 CONTROL	C - 1FC	R	8	23.13	2.232
8. CONTROL	Good FC	U	14	23.71	4.514

		R	31	21.87	4.105
	Average FC	U	50	22.38	3.806
		R	21	21.19	2.421
I	Bad FC	U	36	20.89	4.055

Two way ANOVA has been performed for testing the hypothesis-1. The result of ANOVA for mental health have been shown in table-1.2

Table 1.2: Summary of ANOVA (Effect of different dimensions family climate on mentalhealth of rural and urban B.Ed. teacher-trainees).

Dimension	Source	Sum of	df	Mean	F-	Result
		squares		square	Ratio	
	1 of I	Humai	liti	S		
1.	Cohesion	109.90	2	54.95	3.93*	Significant
1.	Locality	5.061	1	5.061	0.362	Non Significant
2.	Expressiveness	0.91	2	0.45	0.30	Non Significant
Δ.	Locality	24.172	1	24.172	6.278*	Significant
2	Conflict	37.93	2	18.96	1.35	Non Significant
3.	Locality	28.029	1	28.029	3.995*	Significant
4.	Acceptance & Caring	42.66	2	21.33	1.45	Non Significant
4.	Locality	0.806	1	0.806	3.55*	Significant
5.	Independence	45.57	2	57.78	4.06*	Significant
	Locality	1.788	1	1.788	3.46*	Significant
	Active recreational	131.52	2	65.76	4.88*	Significant
6.	orientation	1.180	1	1.180	0.88	Non Significant
	Locality					
7.	Organization	97.64	2	48.32	3.4*	Significant
	Locality	0.205	1	0.205	1.84	Non Significant
8.	Control	90.14	2	45.07	3.24*	Significant
δ.	Locality	1.959	1	1.959	2.94*	Significant

From Table 1.2, 'F' value for Cohesion, Independence, Active recreational orientation, organization and Control is 3.93, 4.06, 4.88, 3.4 and 3.24 respectively which is found to be significant (p<0.05) for df (2, 158) for the main effect of these dimensions of family climate on Mental health of B.Ed. Teacher Trainees. The significant F-value on these dimensions shows that family climate affects the mental health of students. Thus, the hypothesis (H1) - "There is no significant effect of family climate on mental health of B.Ed. teacher trainees" is rejected on these dimensions viz, Cohesion, Independence, Active recreational orientation, organization and Control and it is accepted on dimensions Expressiveness, Conflict and Acceptance & caring.

Hypothesis 2: There is no significant effect of different dimension of family climate on mental health of Rural and Urban B.Ed. teacher-trainees.

From Table 1.2, 'F' value for Expressiveness, Conflict, Acceptance & caring, Independence, and Control is 6.278, 3.995, 3.55 and 3.46 and 2.94 respectively which is found to be significant (p<0.05) for df (2, 158) for the main effect of these dimensions of family climate on Mental health of Rural and Urban B.Ed. Teacher Trainees. The significant F-value on these dimensions shows that family climate affects the mental health of Rural and Urban students. Thus, the hypothesis (H1) - "There is no significant effect of family climate on mental health of rural and urban B.Ed. teacher trainees" is rejected on these dimensions viz, Expressiveness, Conflict, Acceptance & caring, Independence, and Control. It is accepted on dimensions Cohesion, Active recreational orientation and Organization.

Hypothesis 3: There is no significant interaction effect of different dimensions of family climate and locality on mental health of B.Ed. teacher-trainees.

Two way ANOVA has been performed for testing the hypothesis-2. The result of ANOVA for mental health have been shown in table-2.1

Table 2.1 summary of ANOVA (Interaction effect of different dimensions of family climate and locality on mental-health B.Ed. teacher-trainees).

Dimensions	Source	Sum of	df	Mean of	F-Ratio	Result
11	(3)H	Squares		squares	Ting.	
1.	Cohesion*locality	30.393	2	15.196	1.087	N.S.
2.	Expressiveness *locality	2.944	2	1.472	0.098	N.S.
3.	Conflict*locality	41.359	2	20.679	1.472	N.S.
4.	Acceptance and Caring*locality	6.999	1	6.999	0.477	N.S.

5.	Independence *locality	1.984	2	0.992	0.070	N.S.
6.	Active- Recreational Orientation *locality	47.287	2	23.644	1.755	N.S.
7.	Organization *locality	36.421	2	18.211	1.269	N.S.
8.	Control*locality	5.895	²mani	2.947	0.206	N.S.

The above table shows that null hypothesis "There is no significant interaction effect of different dimensions of family climate and locality on mental health of B.Ed. teacher-trainees" is accepted and alternative hypothesis is rejected. It may be concluded that the main effect of family climate on mental health is independent of the effect of locality.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Chawla, N. A. (2012). The relationship between family environment and academic achievement. Indian Spream Research Journal, 1(2).
- mental health. 20-sep-2019. Available: 2. Definition of Retrieved on http://www.en.m.wikipedia.org.
- 3. Dewey, J. (2016). Democracy and education: an introduction to the philosophy of education. New-York: Macmillan.
- 4. Fatima (2003). Relationship of family climate to academic achievement (M.Ed. Dissertation). Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh.
- 5. Kaur, M., Dhillon, S. S. & Kaur, K. (2015). A study of relationship of family environment with mental health of adolescent of Sirsa district. *International Journal of Applied Research*, 1(19), 472-475.
- 6. Kaur, M. (2017). Life satisfaction of undergraduate students in relation to their mental health, emotional intelligence and spiritual intelligence (Ph.D. Thesis). Punjab University, Chandigarh.
- 7. Kumar, R. & Lal, R. (2014). A study of academic achievement in relation to family environment among adolescent. The International Journal of Indian Psychology, 2(1), 146-15.

- 8. Kumar, S. & Chaturvedi, S. (2017). A study of influence of mental health on occupational aspiration of college students in district Haridwar. Shrinkhla Ek Shodhparak Vaicharak *Patrika*, 5(4).
- 9. Lewkan, P. V. (1993). Mental hygiene and hygiene in public health. Urlando: F.L. Harcourt Brace.
- 10. Meninger, K. A. (2004). The human mind. New York: Mcgrow-Hill.
- 11. Mishra, G. S. (2012). The correlates of academic achievement in adolescent.1,(11).
- 12. Mishra, S. & Bamba, V. (2012). Impact of family environment on academic achievement of secondary school students in science subject. International Journal of Research in Economic and Social Sciences, 2(5).
- 13. Shivane, D. (2011). A study the family environment and mental health of the tribal and urban secondary students. Indian Streams Research Journal, 16(5), 187-190.
- 14. Singh, A. & Devi, S. (2018). Mental health of secondary school students in relation to family climate. International Journal of Research in Social Sciences, 8(4), 853-861.

