



# INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES

( Peer-reviewed, Refereed, Indexed & Open Access Journal )

DOI : 03.2021-11278686

ISSN : 2582-8568

IMPACT FACTOR : 6.865 (SJIF 2023)

## A profound exploration of the existential question posed in the soliloquy "To Be or Not to Be."

**Salinder Ranga**

Assistant Professor,  
S.A. Jain College,  
Ambala City (Haryana, India)

DOI No. [03.2021-11278686](https://doi.org/10.2021-11278686) DOI Link :: <https://doi-ds.org/doi/10.2023-72747699/IRJHIS2311005>

### **Abstract:**

*A preliminary examination of Hamlet's "To Be or Not to Be" soliloquy is presented in this paper. William Shakespeare is the author of Hamlet. The analysis of the soliloquy is done from the combined viewpoints of discourse analysis and psycholinguistics, which allows for the presentation of a novel interpretation of the speech. The purpose of this section is to demonstrate how this soliloquy helps to advance the storyline of the play and strengthens the dramatic impact it has on the audience. Enhance the unique characteristics of each of the different personas. In relation to this particular point, the significance of the soliloquy "To Be or Not to Be" is being dissected together with its position in the narrative. The final act of the play "Hamlet" is just around the corner. The purpose of this article is to offer a possible interpretation of the To Be or Not to Be Soliloquy by applying a number of different methods of literary criticism. The strategy that was utilized in this setting leads to a large boost in dramatic efficacy, which ultimately results in the disclosure of two ghosts and two implications.*

**Keywords:** Soliloquy, Hamlet, discourse analysis, psycholinguistic perspective, and dramatic efficacy

### **Before we begin:**

Soliloquies in the play Hamlet by William Shakespeare depict a single individual in the midst of a private battle, exposing emotions and thoughts concerning the human condition. The research paper is divided up into five distinct parts or sections. The first part of this article offers a concise explanation of the literary device known as soliloquy. Following that is the second portion, which is an analysis of Hamlet's fourth monologue in the play from a broader standpoint. An illustration of is provided in the third section.

The monologue that Hamlet delivers at various points throughout the play serves a specific function. Dissecting the position that the To Be or Not to Be Soliloquy has and the relevance it has in Hamlet's themes takes place while this is going on. The fifth and last part, which comes at the very

end, serves as a conclusion to everything. The theoretical stances of and are brought together in this article.

The examination of works of literature from the perspectives of discourse and psycholinguistics respectively. Even if this is not the very first article that has ever been published about Hamlet, the author of this piece presents a method that is very innovative. This literary meaning is manifested in a communicatively dynamic way as a result of an examination from both a discourse and a psycholinguistic perspective viewpoint. This, in turn, causes Two ghosts as the go between in Hamlet to be embodied to a greater extent, which helps different characters to be more distinguishable. views, this literary value is portrayed in a communicatively dynamic form that demonstrates how it relates to multiple perspectives. A character will have a conversation with themselves, known as a soliloquy, in order to convey the deepest, most intricate emotions they are experiencing at the time. It is intended for the audience to hear it, but none of the other characters are to pick up on its presence. Characters have their very own, distinct, and frequently convoluted thoughts and feelings, and they are able to communicate these to the audience.

The English term "soliloquy" derives from the Latin words "solus," which means "alone," and "loqui," which means "to speak." The word's Latin roots make its origins very evident.

To the period between 1595 and 1605. The first documented use of the verb "soliloquize" was in the year 1759. A soliloquy is a form of lengthy monologue in which one character addresses themselves in the third person. the stage upon which he or she makes public their most private thoughts and feelings about something or someone else. It's possible that the playwright has a closer personal connection with the audience members. information about a character that is essential to comprehending that character, such as that character's current mental state, his or her private thoughts and feelings, and the character's motivations, or the reasons for doing something.

That is the question: to be or not to be; whether to be or not to be.

Hamlet is ruminating on his own mortality and debating with himself whether it would be better for him to live or to die, which is the most basic interpretation of this line. On the other hand, the structure of the problem that Hamlet raises ensures that it will be grasped on a more general level. Given the overall quality, it is not unreasonable to suppose that Hamlet poses the question simultaneously on both a practical and a metaphysical level. This is a plausible assumption in light of the overall excellence. The fact that the subject is brought up at the same time in both acts of the play lends credence to this claim. It is not only an issue of whether or whether Hamlet ought to kill himself; rather, the whole justification for life itself is being put into question here. Hamlet's existence is being called into doubt.

The several soliloquies that Hamlet delivers throughout the course of the play make it very evident that he despises himself and is even prepared to die. However, in the soliloquy titled "To be

or not to be," Hamlet takes this a step further and operates on the assumption that everyone would rather be dead than living, and the only reason anyone is alive is because they are afraid of killing themselves. Hamlet bases this assumption on the idea that the only reason anyone is alive is because they have a fear of killing themselves. When Hamlet gives his "To be or not to be" speech, he bases his actions on this notion. Hamlet is no longer disputing with himself about whether or not he wants to die, but instead he is thinking about how to end his life.

Even before he learned the truth, Hamlet's sadness over the death of his father and his mother's fast marriage led him to express a want to end his own life. Just whether or not he is able to kill himself because his religion forbids him to kill himself. Even before he learned the truth, Hamlet's anguish over the death of his father and his mother's hasty marriage led him to express a desire to kill himself. He blamed his uncle for the death of his father he blamed his uncle for the death of his father.

Hamlet is despondent and sees death by suicide as his only way out of the prospect of being labelled a murderer or a coward by his peers. Hamlet, on the other hand, is well aware of the dangers that come with the option of suicide, namely, a collision between his moral code and his religious convictions. During the course of the play, Hamlet poses the question, "Whether it is nobler in the mind to suffer...or to take arms against it?" a never-ending stream of difficulties, and the only way to put an end to them is to fight against them. Hamlet is under the assumption that everyone else has a deep-seated desire to take their own lives at some point.

When he himself could make his quietus with a little bodkin, why should he be subjected to the scourges and whips of time? Hamlet views life as a difficult challenge to be overcome. Using the expression "mortal coil" to convey the thought that living is a difficult task is a good way to do so. Hamlet arrives at the conclusion that the primary incentive for individuals to kill their own lives is not "the dread of something after death," and he presents two probable theories for why people take their own lives in the play.

That even if people were more courageous and self-assured, they would still select suicide as their method of ending their life.

It would appear that Hamlet has come to the conclusion that he will never find a way to live his life that will bring him happiness or contentment, and that the only option he has is to continue to suffer till the end of time. Earth, or for him to take his own life. He couldn't choose. It would suggest that Hamlet's remorse over the murder of Claudius would cause him to experience much more mental torment if he were to really carry out the murder himself.

He does it because he has an overwhelming sense of guilt over the fact that he was unable to avenge the death of his father. The character of Hamlet is shown to have self-awareness when his soliloquies are analyzed in detail. Does not foresee any way out of his current circumstances that

would allow him to avoid having his dignity, feeling of responsibility, religious practice, or sense of morality be put in jeopardy.

### **The Roles That Soliloquies Play:**

Shakespeare is revered for his great understanding of the complexity of human nature. This understanding encompassed a broad spectrum of feelings, sentiments, and impulses, ranging from positive to negative in nature. This is the fourth and last soliloquy that has been given to the character in order to shed light on his complex psychological state. The phenomenon can be understood as a sad illustration of moral disillusionment in its various forms. The text provided by the user is already scholarly.

The play Hamlet examines the intrinsic contradiction that exists between the protagonist's desire for revenge and the ethical issues of morality, guilt, and justice. This conflict lies at the heart of the drama. In addition to this, Hamlet struggles with the decisions made by his mother and uncle, both of which add another layer of strain to the situation.

The position is presented in a manner that is quite dramatic. Hamlet reveals his inner thoughts, feelings, and hopes for the future in the collection of soliloquies known as "Seven Soliloquies," which helps with the comprehension and evaluation of his character. The development of the story's organizational framework. The soliloquies in question are still held in high respect as being among the most brilliant parts of the dramatic framework, as they perform an important role within that structure. Written compositions that have artistic or aesthetic value are referred to as literary works. Literary works frequently include multiple genres, such as novels, poems, and plays. In order to fully appreciate the profound essence of this remarkable theatrical piece, it is imperative to engage with the seven soliloquies that are included within its narrative.

### **The repercussions of the soliloquy:**

Because of the two mirrors that are facing each other, the first assumption is that there could be an infinite number of reflections. It is going to be necessary for Claudius to coerce evidence out of Hamlet in order to demonstrate that Hamlet is aware of the crime that he committed. Hamlet, on the other hand, is unable to present conclusive evidence that Claudius is guilty of the crime, nor is he able to say anything explicit about it. He is also unable to say anything about it. It is a well-established fact that people are unable to perceive ghosts in their natural state. On the other hand, Claudius does not have to admit guilt as long as Hamlet possesses undeniable proof that he was the one who did the crime. Importantly, despite the fact that Hamlet sees Claudius make confession, he shows very little interest in the particulars of what Claudius is admitting. This is important because it shows that Hamlet is not interested in the details of what Claudius is confessing. At this point in the play, Hamlet is already convinced; the second assumption is that the audience as a whole has been fooled. The second thing to keep in mind is that everything is determined by how well prepared the

audience is. At the conclusion of the play, the audience is given the impression that Hamlet is to blame for the tragedy and is looking for retribution for the death of his father. It is possible to argue that the entirety of this play is structured in such a way as to have the audience ready to acknowledge Hamlet's perspective on things. compensation for the wrongdoings of Claudius. Claudius confesses that he was the one who murdered King Hamlet, but Hamlet is not there to hear the confession. During the time that was spent in prayer, the audience was given enough evidence at this point to accept who killed King Hamlet and who needs to have their revenge taken. When Hamlet murders Claudius in the quarrel scene, the audience obtains the impression that he has successfully carried out his plan to seek revenge on Claudius because of the many different sorts of "shared knowledge."

It was Claudius who did it. In point of fact, however, Hamlet dies before there is any evidence that can be proven beyond a reasonable doubt that Claudius was the one who murdered King Hamlet, and Claudius was the one who killed Hamlet dies without ever learning whether or not Hamlet is aware that he killed the king or whether Hamlet is even conscious that he killed the king. In addition to previous works, the author's discourse concept is seen to be expressed throughout Hamlet after being mediated through the three phases of SEEMING, the form that begins the play in the form of INNOCENCE evolves into a theme shape later on in the play. your thoughts on the central proposition, which is that INNOCENCE inevitably results in RUIN, please. The play Hamlet contains a total of eleven soliloquies, with the protagonist Hamlet, King Claudius, and Ophelia each giving one. The purpose of all of Hamlet's soliloquies is to explain the complexity of his persona and the relevance of the part that his insanity plays in the flow of events throughout the play. This is accomplished through a variety of different methods. And via the use of the soliloquy, we are able to see the many parts of his character that were concealed previously. Take, for instance, Hamlet's situation.

By the time the story came to a close, the characters' feelings toward the King, Claudius, had evolved from distaste and suspicion all the way up to outright hatred. Ophelia is the one who recites the famous "O, what a noble mind is here o'er thrown" soliloquy at the end of the play.

The fact that Claudius admits to having killed his brother is the single most significant piece of information that he reveals throughout his soliloquy, and he does it immediately away. Up until this point in the play, the charge has been purposefully left ambiguous. Claudius's monologue on his feelings of remorse is vital to the storyline since it is the only place in the play where Claudius expresses regret for the murder of Hamlet's father. This makes the monologue an important part of the play. At this point in the narrative, we learn how Claudius thinks about what he has done as a result of what has happened up until this point. The remainder of the play is entirely devoted to exploring how Hamlet feels about what has taken occurred throughout the play. This is something that Claudius has done, and I believe that looking at it from a different point of view brings the play

to a satisfying conclusion.

Ophelia is a pretty woman, but she is lacking in sophistication, and as a result, she is susceptible to being easily swayed by the staunch convictions and lofty goals of others since she lacks agency. This mental and emotional fragility finally caused her to lose all chance of ever being loved by Hamlet, which in turn led to her being submissive to her father, which in turn led to her losing all hope of ever being loved by Hamlet, which in turn led to her going insane, which ultimately led to her death. When Ophelia's father questioned the sincerity of Hamlet's intentions, she was at a loss for words and could only respond, "I do not know, my lord, what I should think." She had been brought up to defer to her father's authority and had been taught to be obedient to him, so she was accustomed to taking his advice and following it. She can only give credit to the opinion of her father and brother, who both feel that Hamlet's "holy vows" of love were nothing more than hollow words. Her father and brother both believe that Hamlet's "holy vows" of love were nothing more than empty words. created with the intention of luring her into bed with him. She was obligated to carry out her father's orders, which said that she had to deny Hamlet any further opportunities to meet her again. due to the fact that she was preoccupied with trying to make her father happy rather than considering either her own sentiments or those of Hamlet, she was unable to think for herself.

**The final word:**

We are able to reconstruct the spiritual predicament that Hamlet was going through by looking at his soliloquies and seeing what they have to say about it. Shakespeare added the soliloquies in his plays in order to simplify the character of Hamlet, who is normally a very complicated individual. He did this by having Hamlet speak to himself. Therefore, the soliloquies in Hamlet are significant because they enable the reader to follow the development of Hamlet's spiritual conundrum and also because they enable the reader to follow the development of Hamlet's spiritual conundrum over the course of the play. your assistance is needed in bringing his complex mental state to light.

The discussion that surrounds Hamlet's "To be or not to be" soliloquy is almost as wide and open to a variety of interpretations as the play itself in and of itself. There are a variety of ways that the play can be interpreted, and there are also numerous ways that Hamlet's character can be conceptualized. The soliloquy can be read in a variety of different ways; nevertheless, interpretations that do not take into consideration the dramatic setting of the speech are quite likely to be wrong. error in a process that is of the utmost importance. According to the studies that were mentioned before, Shakespeare shows his characters on every possible level and in every imaginable dimension. This gives readers the opportunity to experience the variety, layered intelligence, and profoundness that is inherent in human beings. As a result, readers are provided with the opportunity to comprehend the complete picture of human life and the extensive variety of experiences that humans

are capable of having. The author suggests some new goals for Shakespeare scholarship, which are as follows: The study of Shakespeare in the future may incorporate new angles of view, a concentration on a few less-heard works, increased strength in quantitative study, and heightened engagement with modern world. Additionally, the author suggests that the field of Shakespeare studies be advanced by developing a core author group in a number of different ways.

### References:

1. Papahagi, A. (2012). Shakespeare Interpretat De Adrian Papahagi. In Titus Andronicus. Hamlet. Shakespeare interpretat de Adrian Papahagi (pp. 57-250). Polirom Publishing House.
2. Cyrus Hoy. (1963). William Shakespeare, Hamlet. New York:W.W. Norton & Company.
3. Homer, W. E. (2018). The Odyssey. W. W. Norton and Company.
4. Ching-His Perng, J. (2008). Who's the Addressee? Four Types of Shakespearean Soliloquy (pp.203-222). Chang Gung Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences 1:2.
5. Worthen, W. B. (2014). Shakespeare Performance Studies. Cambridge: Cambridge UP.
6. Clemen, W. (1987). Charity Scott Stokes, Shakespeare's Soliloquies. New York: Methuen.
7. Eliot, T. S. (1997). Hamlet and his problems. London: Faber and Faber.
8. Zuo Xin, J. (2003). Analysis of Hamlets Complex Character By Hamlets Relationship with Other Major Characters. Suzhou, Journal of Suzhou Education Institute.
9. Short, Michael H. (1996). Exploring the language of poems, plays and prose. London: Longman.
10. Hu Zhuanglin. (2011). Linguistic Course (pp.196-222).Peking, Peking University Press.
11. Liu Runqing & Liu Xu. (2011). New Linguistics Course, Peking, Foreign Language Teaching and Research Press.

