INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES
(Peer-reviewed, Refereed, Indexed & Open Access Journal)
DOI : 03.2021-11278686 ISSN : 2582-8568 IMPACT FACTOR : 7.560 (SJIF 2024)

CHARACTERIZATION TECHNIQUES FOR NANOMATERIALS

Dr (smt) Archana Chaturvedi

Assistant Professor,
Department of Chemistry,
Govt. Autonomous Post Graduate College,
Chhindwara (Madhya Pradesh, India)
E-mail: Chaturvediarchi@rediffmail.com

DOI No. 03.2021-11278686 **DOI Link ::** https://doi-ds.org/doilink/02.2024-94339859/IRJHIS2402013

Abstract:

Characterization techniques are crucial for comprehensively understanding the unique properties of nanomaterials, which often exhibit distinct behaviors at the nanoscale. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) allows direct visualization of nanomaterials' morphology, structure, and crystallinity at atomic resolution, providing insights into their fundamental properties. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) complements TEM by offering high-resolution surface imaging, facilitating the observation of surface features and morphological characteristics of nanomaterials. Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) enables nanoscale topographical imaging and mechanical property measurements, providing valuable information on surface roughness, adhesion, and elasticity. X-ray Diffraction (XRD) elucidates the crystallographic structure, phase purity, and lattice parameters of nanomaterials, aiding in their structural characterization and phase analysis. Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) is utilized for size distribution analysis of nanoparticles in solution, providing information on particle size, polydispersity, and colloidal stability. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) and Raman spectroscopy offer insights into the chemical composition, functional groups, and molecular vibrations of nanomaterials, facilitating their chemical characterization and surface analysis. Collectively, these characterization techniques empower researchers to probe the structural, morphological, chemical, and physical properties of nanomaterials, enabling advancements in various fields such as materials science, nanotechnology, biomedical engineering, and environmental science. The synergy between these techniques enhances our understanding of nanomaterials and drives innovation in their synthesis, design, and application for diverse technological solutions.

Keywords: *SEM, TEM, nanomaterials, AFM, FTIR.*

Introduction:

Characterization techniques of nanomaterials are essential for understanding their properties, structures, and behaviors at the nanoscale. These techniques enable scientists and researchers to investigate various aspects of nanomaterials, including size, shape, composition, surface properties, and crystallinity. TEM provides high-resolution images of nanomaterials, allowing direct visualization of their size, shape, and crystal structure at the atomic level. It's particularly useful for examining individual nanoparticles and their aggregates.SEM provides detailed surface morphology information of nanomaterials. It offers higher depth of field compared to TEM and is suitable for imaging larger areas and understanding the three-dimensional structure of nanomaterials [1]. AFM measures the surface topography of nanomaterials by scanning a sharp probe over the sample surface. It provides high-resolution images and can also be used for mechanical, electrical, and magnetic characterization of nanomaterials. XRD is used to determine the crystal structure and phase purity of nanomaterials. By analyzing the diffraction pattern produced when X-rays interact with the crystal lattice of the material, researchers can identify its crystalline phases and measure parameters such as crystal size and strain.DLS measures the hydrodynamic size distribution of nanoparticles in solution by analyzing the fluctuations in light scattering caused by Brownian motion. It provides information about the size distribution and aggregation state of nanoparticles in liquid suspensions [2]. FTIR is used to analyze the chemical composition and functional groups present on the surface of nanomaterials. It provides information about molecular vibrations and can be used to identify chemical bonds and interactions. Raman spectroscopy provides information about the vibrational modes of molecules in nanomaterials. It's particularly useful for studying carbon-based nanomaterials like graphene and carbon nanotubes and can also provide insights into doping, defects, and strain in nanomaterials [3].

Characterization techniques of nanomaterials:

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM):

TEM is a powerful technique extensively used for the characterization of nanomaterials. It allows researchers to observe nanomaterials at very high magnifications, providing detailed information about their size, shape, structure, and composition at the atomic scale. TEM operates on the principle of transmitting a beam of electrons through a thin specimen. When electrons pass through the specimen, they interact with its atoms, causing scattering and diffraction. By analyzing the patterns formed by these interactions, detailed information about the structure and composition of the specimen can be obtained [4-5].

High-Resolution TEM (HRTEM):

HRTEM is a specialized technique within TEM that provides even higher resolution images. It utilizes aberration correction and advanced imaging modes to reduce distortions and improve clarity. HRTEM is particularly useful for studying the atomic structure of nanomaterials, including defects, interfaces, and surface structures.

Selected Area Electron Diffraction (SAED):

SAED is a technique used in TEM to obtain information about the crystal structure and orientation of nanomaterials. By selecting a small area of the specimen, electron diffraction patterns can be generated, which provide valuable information about the crystal symmetry, lattice parameters, and orientation relationships within the nanomaterial.

Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDS):

EDS can be integrated with TEM to perform elemental analysis of nanomaterials. When electrons interact with the specimen, characteristic X-rays are emitted. By detecting and analyzing these X-rays, the elemental composition of the nanomaterial can be determined, providing insights into its chemical composition and distribution.

In-situ TEM:

In-situ TEM techniques allow researchers to observe nanomaterials under dynamic conditions, such as heating, cooling, and mechanical deformation. These techniques provide real-time insights into the behavior and properties of nanomaterials under different environmental conditions, facilitating a deeper understanding of their functionality and potential applications.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM):

SEM is another essential technique for the characterization of nanomaterials, offering distinct advantages and complementing the information obtained from Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) [6].

Surface Imaging:

SEM provides high-resolution images of nanomaterial surfaces, allowing researchers to visualize the morphology, size, and shape of nanoparticles or nanostructures. This is particularly useful for assessing the uniformity of nanoparticles, detecting agglomerates, and observing surface features such as roughness or porosity.

Depth Profiling:

Unlike TEM, which requires thin samples, SEM can analyze thicker samples due to its lower resolution. This makes it suitable for studying the three-dimensional structure of nanomaterials and obtaining information about their internal morphology and distribution of components.

Elemental Analysis:

SEM can be equipped with Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDS) or Wavelength Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (WDS) detectors to perform elemental analysis of nanomaterials. EDS is commonly used and provides qualitative and quantitative information about the elemental composition and distribution within the nanomaterials.

Chemical Mapping:

EDS coupled with SEM enables chemical mapping of nanomaterials, allowing researchers to visualize the spatial distribution of different elements or chemical species within the sample. This is valuable for understanding compositional variations, identifying impurities, or studying functionalization of nanomaterial surfaces.

Nanomanipulation and Nanolithography:

SEM systems equipped with nanomanipulators or focused ion beams (FIB) allow researchers to manipulate and fabricate nanostructures directly on the sample surface. This capability enables precise positioning, modification, or assembly of nanomaterials, facilitating the development of novel nanodevices or nanostructures.

In-situ Experiments:

Similar to TEM, SEM can also be used for in-situ experiments to study nanomaterials under various environmental conditions or external stimuli. This includes observations of nanomaterials under heating, cooling, mechanical loading, or exposure to gases or liquids, providing insights into their dynamic behaviors and responses.

Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM):

AFM is a powerful technique commonly used for the characterization of nanomaterials. Unlike optical microscopy, AFM operates by scanning a sharp probe tip over the surface of a sample, measuring the interaction forces between the tip and the surface [7-8].

Surface Topography Imaging:

AFM provides high-resolution images of nanomaterial surfaces, allowing researchers to visualize their morphology, size, and shape with nanometer-scale resolution. This is particularly useful for characterizing nanoparticles, nanotubes, graphene, and other nanostructures, providing insights into their surface roughness, aggregation, and spatial distribution.

Surface Roughness Analysis:

AFM quantitatively measures surface roughness parameters such as average roughness (Ra), root-mean-square roughness (RMS), and surface roughness profiles. These parameters are crucial for evaluating the quality, uniformity, and functional properties of nanomaterial surfaces, especially in applications such as coatings, thin films, and nanocomposites.

Height Profiling:

AFM can perform height profiling along specific lines or areas of interest on nanomaterial surfaces, providing detailed information about their thickness, step height, and surface contour. This is valuable for characterizing thin films, multilayer structures, and nanoscale features, as well as detecting defects or variations in surface topography.

Force Spectroscopy:

AFM can measure the mechanical properties of nanomaterials through force spectroscopy, where the tip is brought into contact with the sample surface and the interaction forces (e.g., van der Waals, electrostatic, adhesive forces) are probed as a function of tip-sample separation. This allows researchers to determine properties such as elasticity, stiffness, adhesion, and friction at the nanoscale.

Electrical Characterization:

Conductive AFM (C-AFM) and Kelvin Probe Force Microscopy (KPFM) are specialized AFM techniques used for electrical characterization of nanomaterials. C-AFM measures the local electrical conductivity or resistance of nanomaterials, while KPFM maps the surface potential and work function variations, providing insights into electronic properties, charge transport mechanisms, and device performance.

Magnetic Characterization:

Magnetic Force Microscopy (MFM) is an AFM technique used to study the magnetic properties of nanomaterials. MFM maps the magnetic field distribution and magnetic domains on the sample surface, allowing researchers to investigate magnetic interactions, domain structures, and magnetic anisotropy at the nanoscale.

In-situ Experiments:

AFM can be combined with environmental chambers or liquid cells to perform in-situ experiments under controlled conditions, such as temperature, humidity, or chemical environment. This enables real-time observations of nanomaterials' responses to external stimuli, including changes in morphology, mechanical properties, or surface interactions.

X-ray diffraction (XRD):

It is a widely used technique for characterizing nanocomposites, which are materials, composed of two or more distinct phases at the nanometer scale. XRD provides valuable information about the crystal structure, phase composition, crystallinity, and lattice parameters of the constituent phases in nanocomposite [9-10].

Phase Identification:

XRD is particularly useful for identifying the crystalline phases present in nanocomposites. By analyzing the diffraction patterns produced when X-rays interact with the crystal lattice of the material, researchers can determine the types of phases present, including polymers, ceramics, metals, or other nanomaterials.

Crystallinity Analysis:

XRD can quantify the degree of crystallinity of the individual phases within nanocomposites. By comparing the intensity of diffraction peaks with standard references or calculating crystallinity indices, researchers can assess the extent of crystalline order and degree of crystallinity in each phase.

Phase Quantification:

XRD can be used to quantify the relative amounts or volume fractions of different phases in nanocomposites. This is achieved by analyzing the integrated intensities of diffraction peaks corresponding to each phase and applying appropriate mathematical models or software algorithms for phase quantification.

Lattice Parameter Determination:

XRD provides information about the lattice parameters of the crystal structure within nanocomposites. By measuring the positions and spacings of diffraction peaks, researchers can determine the lattice constants, unit cell dimensions, and crystallographic orientations of the constituent phases, which are important for understanding the structural properties and phase interactions in nanocomposites.

Crystallite Size and Strain Analysis:

XRD can estimate the average crystallite size and lattice strain within nanocomposites using techniques such as Scherrer analysis or Williamson-Hall analysis. These parameters provide insights into the nanoscale structure, grain boundaries, and defects within crystalline phases, which influence the mechanical, optical, and thermal properties of nanocomposites.

Phase Transformation and Stability:

XRD can track phase transformations, phase transitions, or structural changes that occur in nanocomposites under different processing conditions or environmental stimuli (e.g., temperature, pressure, humidity). This helps assess the stability, phase compatibility, and performance of nanocomposite materials for various applications.

Texture Analysis:

XRD can characterize the preferred orientation or texture of crystalline phases within nanocomposites. Texture analysis provides information about the alignment, orientation distribution, and anisotropic properties of crystallites, which affect mechanical, electrical, and magnetic properties in nanocomposite materials.

Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS):

It is a widely used technique for the characterization of nanomaterials in solution. DLS provides valuable information about the size distribution, size-dependent properties, and stability of nanoparticles, colloids, and other nanoscale particles dispersed in liquids [11].

Size Distribution Analysis:

DLS measures the Brownian motion-induced fluctuations in the intensity of scattered light from nanoparticles suspended in a liquid medium. By analyzing the autocorrelation function of these intensity fluctuations, DLS determines the distribution of hydrodynamic sizes (i.e., effective diameters) of the nanomaterials in solution. This provides insights into the polydispersity, aggregation, or agglomeration state of nanoparticles.

Average Particle Size Determination:

DLS calculates the average or mean hydrodynamic diameter of nanoparticles within a given sample based on the intensity-weighted size distribution. This parameter represents the characteristic size of the nanoparticles as they behave in solution, accounting for their Brownian motion and interaction with the solvent molecules. The average particle size obtained from DLS is often used as a primary metric for nanomaterial characterization.

Size Stability Monitoring:

DLS can monitor changes in the size distribution and stability of nanomaterials over time or under different environmental conditions (e.g., pH, temperature, ionic strength). By tracking variations in the intensity autocorrelation function, DLS detects alterations in nanoparticle aggregation, dissolution, precipitation, or surface modifications, providing insights into the colloidal stability and shelf-life of nanomaterial dispersions.

Zeta Potential Measurement:

DLS can be coupled with electrophoretic mobility measurements to determine the zeta potential of nanomaterials in solution. Zeta potential reflects the surface charge and electrostatic interactions between nanoparticles, influencing their dispersion stability, aggregation kinetics, and interactions with biological or environmental interfaces. DLS-based zeta potential analysis is valuable for optimizing nanoparticle synthesis, surface functionalization, and formulation design.

Concentration Estimation:

DLS provides indirect estimation of nanoparticle concentration or number density in solution based on the intensity of scattered light. By calibrating the instrument response and accounting for factors such as particle size, refractive index, and scattering efficiency, DLS allows researchers to quantify the concentration of nanomaterials within a sample, enabling accurate dosing and reproducibility in experimental studies.

Raman spectroscopy:

It is a powerful technique used for the characterization of nanomaterials, offering insights into their structural, compositional, and vibrational properties at the nanoscale [12].

Chemical Composition:

Raman spectroscopy provides information about the chemical composition of nanomaterials by probing the vibrational modes of their constituent molecules or atoms. Each material has a unique Raman spectrum, which can be used to identify the presence of specific chemical bonds, functional groups, or molecular species within the nanomaterials. This is particularly useful for analyzing carbon-based nanomaterials like graphene, carbon nanotubes, and fullerenes, as well as other organic or inorganic nanomaterials.

Structural Characterization:

Raman spectroscopy offers insights into the structural properties of nanomaterials, including their crystallographic orientation, layer stacking, defects, and lattice vibrations. By analyzing the intensity, frequency, and linewidth of Raman peaks, researchers can determine structural parameters such as layer thickness, stacking order, strain, and crystallite size in nanomaterials like graphene, nanowires, quantum dots, and nanoparticles.

Surface Analysis:

Raman spectroscopy is sensitive to the surface properties of nanomaterials, providing information about surface functionalization, adsorption, and chemical interactions. By probing the vibrational modes of molecules adsorbed on the nanomaterial surface, Raman spectroscopy can characterize surface modifications, chemical bonding, and surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) effects in plasmonic nanomaterials, nanoparticles, or nanostructured surfaces.

Quantitative Analysis:

Raman spectroscopy can be used for quantitative analysis of nanomaterials, such as determining concentration gradients, phase compositions, or reaction kinetics in nanocomposites, catalysts, or functional materials. By correlating Raman peak intensities or integrated areas with material properties, researchers can develop calibration models for accurate quantification of components or chemical transformations in nanomaterial systems.

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR):

It is a powerful analytical technique widely used for the characterization of nanomaterials. FTIR provides valuable information about the chemical composition, molecular structure, surface properties, and interactions of nanomaterials with high sensitivity and spatial resolution [13-14].

Chemical Composition:

FTIR spectroscopy provides insights into the chemical composition of nanomaterials by analyzing the vibrational modes of their constituent molecules or functional groups. Each material exhibits characteristic absorption bands in the infrared spectrum, which correspond to specific chemical bonds or molecular species present in the nanomaterials. FTIR can identify organic, inorganic, and hybrid nanomaterials, as well as detect impurities, contaminants, or surface modifications.

Functional Group Analysis:

FTIR spectroscopy is particularly useful for analyzing functional groups and surface chemistry of nanomaterials. By correlating absorption peaks with known vibrational modes of chemical bonds (e.g., C-H, O-H, C=O, C-N), FTIR can identify and quantify functional groups attached to nanomaterial surfaces, such as polymers, surfactants, ligands, or biomolecules. This is valuable for characterizing surface modifications, chemical grafting, or bioconjugation reactions in nanomaterials.

Surface Analysis:

FTIR spectroscopy can probe surface properties and interactions of nanomaterials with surrounding environments or adsorbed molecules. By measuring attenuated total reflection (ATR) or diffuse reflectance FTIR spectra, FTIR can analyze surface adsorption, chemical reactions, or surface-enhanced infrared absorption (SEIRA) effects in nanomaterials, such as catalysts, nanoparticles, or thin films. FTIR is sensitive to changes in surface coverage, surface charge, or surface functionalization, providing insights into surface reactivity, stability, and interfacial phenomena in nanomaterial systems.

Quantitative Analysis:

FTIR spectroscopy can perform quantitative analysis of nanomaterials, such as determining concentration gradients, chemical compositions, or reaction kinetics in nanomaterial systems. By correlating FTIR peak intensities or integrated areas with material properties, researchers can develop calibration models for accurate quantification of components or chemical transformations in nanomaterials, such as catalysts, sensors, or drug delivery systems.

Surface Area and Porosity Analysis:

Techniques such as Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) analysis and gas adsorption measurements are used to determine the specific surface area, pore size distribution, and porosity of nanomaterials, which are important for applications like catalysis and adsorption.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the field of nanomaterial characterization is vast and continually evolving, driven by the rapid advancement of nanotechnology. Various techniques, ranging from microscopy and spectroscopy to scattering and chromatography, provide researchers with the tools necessary to understand and manipulate nanomaterial properties at the atomic and molecular levels. Each characterization technique offers unique advantages and limitations, making them complementary to one another in providing a comprehensive understanding of nanomaterials. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) offer high-resolution imaging, allowing for precise structural analysis. Spectroscopic techniques such as X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and Raman spectroscopy provide insights into the chemical composition and bonding configurations of nanomaterials. Scattering techniques like small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and dynamic light scattering (DLS) enable researchers to study the size, shape, and aggregation behavior of nanoparticles in solution. Furthermore, advancements in characterization techniques, such as the development of in situ and operando methods, facilitate the study of nanomaterials under realistic conditions, providing valuable insights into their dynamic behavior and functional properties. Despite these advancements, challenges remain, including the need for improved resolution, sensitivity, and throughput, as well as the development of standardized protocols for data interpretation and analysis. In summary, characterization techniques for nanomaterials play a pivotal role in advancing our understanding of their structure, properties, and behavior. By employing a combination of complementary techniques and embracing technological innovations, researchers can continue to unlock the full potential of nanomaterials for a wide range of applications, from healthcare and environmental remediation to renewable energy and beyond.

References:

1. W. G. Liu, M. Griffith. F. Li. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med.2008, 19, 3361.
2. S. Agnihotri, S. Mukherji, S. Mukherji. Appl. Nanosci. 2012,2,179.
3. S. Benamer, M. Mahlous, A. Boukrif, B. Mansouri, S. L. Youcef. Nuclear Instruments Methods Phys. Res.2006, 248, 284.
4. K. Oguchi, K. Sanui, N. Ogata, Y. Takahashi, T. Nakada. Polym. Eng. & Sci. 1990, 30, 449.
5. A. Chhatri, J. Bajpai, A. K. Bajpai. Biomatter. 2011, 1, 189.
6. I. Y. Jeon, J. B. Baek. Materials Rev. 2010, 3, 3654.
7. J. Tsai, C. T. Sun. Compos. Mater. 2004, 38, 567
8. Y. Zhu, Y. Qian , X. Li, M. Zhang. Nanostructed. Mater. 1998, 4,673.
9. S. Prabhu, E. K. Poulose. Int. Nano Lett. 2012, *32*, 2.
10. N. V. Ayala-Núñez , H. H. Lara , L. Ixtepan , C. Rodríguez . Nanobiotech. 2009, 5, 5.
11. V. K. Sharma, R. A. Yngard , Y. Lin. Advances in Colloid and Interface Sci. 2009, 145, 83-96.
12. D. H. Bowen. A Concise Encyclopedia of Composite Materials. A. Kelly, Ed. Oxford; Elsevier, 1994, 7-15.
13. H. S. Hung, S. H. Hsu. Nanotechnol. 2007, 18,104.
14. R. Chalinga, J .L. Rao, B.C.V. Reddy, K. Veera Brahmam. J. Bull. Mater. Sci. 2007, 30, 3215.