THE ROLE OF MEDIA IN SHAPING PUBLIC PERCEPTION OF POLITICAL ISSUES

Tiya Jhunjhunwala

Student,
Amity Institute of Social Sciences,
Amity University,
Noida (Uttar Pradesh, India)
E-mail: tiyajhunjhunwala4@gmail.com

Abhishek Verma

Assistant Professor,
Amity Institute of Social Sciences,
Amity University,
Noida (Uttar Pradesh, India)
E-mail: averma6@amity.edu

Abstract:

The influence of media on public perception of political issues is a topic of ongoing significance in contemporary society. This paper explores the multifaceted role of media in shaping public opinion, examining how various forms of media, including traditional news outlets and social media platforms, control the flow of information, frame narratives, and set agendas for public discourse. Drawing on theoretical frameworks such as agenda-setting theory and framing theory, the paper elucidates how media outlets select which stories to cover, how they frame those stories, and the impact of their tone and language on audience perception. Additionally, the paper explores the role of social media in amplifying the media's influence, as well as the presence of biases and agendas within media organizations. Through a comprehensive analysis, this paper seeks to contribute to a deeper understanding of the complex interplay between media and public perception of political issues, highlighting the implications for democratic discourse and civic engagement in the digital age.

Keywords: Public perception, Political issues, propaganda, social media literacy, print media

Introduction:

The role of media in shaping public perception of political issues is multifaceted and complex, encompassing various forms of media, including traditional news outlets, social media platforms, and alternative sources of information. This influence is rooted in the media's ability to control the flow of information, frame narratives, and set agendas for public discourse.

Firstly, media outlets have the power to select which stories to cover and which to ignore. This editorial decision-making process can significantly impact what information the public receives about political issues. For example, a news organization might prioritize certain topics based on their perceived importance or relevance, while neglecting others that may be equally significant but less

attention-grabbing.

Secondly, the way in which media outlets frame political issues can shape how they are perceived by the public. Framing refers to the way in which information is presented, emphasizing certain aspects while downplaying or omitting others. For instance, a news story about immigration policy could be framed as a national security issue, focusing on border control and crime rates, or as a humanitarian issue, highlighting the plight of refugees and asylum seekers. The framing chosen by media outlets can influence public opinion and shape attitudes towards political issues.

Moreover, the tone and language used in media coverage can also impact public perception of political issues. A news report that uses inflammatory or sensational language may evoke strong emotions and polarize audiences, while a more neutral or balanced approach might foster a greater understanding of the complexities involved. Additionally, media outlets may employ persuasive techniques such as imagery, sound bites, and expert opinions to sway public opinion in a particular direction.

Social media platforms have further amplified the media's influence on public perception of political issues. With the rise of user-generated content and algorithmic curation, individuals are exposed to a diverse array of viewpoints and opinions, often tailored to their own preferences and beliefs. However, this personalized content can also create echo chambers and filter bubbles, reinforcing existing biases and limiting exposure to alternative perspectives.

Furthermore, media outlets may have their own biases or agendas, whether political, economic, or ideological, which can influence the information they present to the public. This can manifest in subtle ways, such as the selection of sources and experts quoted in news stories, or more overtly through editorial endorsements and opinion pieces.

Historical Background:

The historical background of the role of media in shaping public perception of political issues is multifaceted and spans centuries. Here's a detailed overview:

1. **Print Media and the Enlightenment:** The role of media in influencing public perception of political issues can be traced back to the Enlightenment era in the 17th and 18th centuries. The proliferation of newspapers, pamphlets, and political tracts provided a platform for intellectuals and activists to disseminate ideas about governance, democracy, and individual rights. Notable works such as Thomas Paine's "Common Sense" and the Federalist Papers played a crucial role in shaping public opinion during the American Revolution.

2. **Partisan Press and Yellow Journalism:** In the 19th century, the rise of partisan press and yellow journalism further underscored the influence of media on public perception. Newspapers such as William Randolph Hearst's New York Journal and Joseph Pulitzer's New York World sensationalized news stories, often with biased or exaggerated reporting, to attract readership and

influence public opinion on political issues such as imperialism and war.

3. **Radio and Television Era**: The 20th century saw the emergence of radio and television as dominant forms of mass media. Political leaders like Franklin D. Roosevelt utilized radio broadcasts, known as "fireside chats," to communicate directly with the public and shape their perception of government policies during the Great Depression and World War II. Similarly, televised political debates, such as the famous Nixon-Kennedy debates in 1960, showcased the power of television in shaping public perception of political candidates.

4. **Propaganda and Totalitarian Regimes**: The role of media in shaping public perception reached its apex in totalitarian regimes like Nazi Germany and Soviet Russia. Propaganda machines controlled by the state disseminated governmentapproved narratives and demonized political opponents, effectively manipulating public opinion and consolidating power.

5. **Digital Age and social media**: The advent of the internet and social media in the late 20th and early 21st centuries revolutionized the media landscape. Platforms like Twitter, Facebook, and YouTube provided individuals with unprecedented access to information and the ability to influence public discourse. However, concerns have arisen about the spread of misinformation, echo chambers, and algorithmic bias, which can distort public perception of political issues.

6. **Media Literacy and Critical Thinking**: As media continues to evolve, the importance of media literacy and critical thinking skills becomes increasingly crucial. Empowering individuals to critically evaluate sources, discern fact from fiction, and engage in informed dialogue is essential for maintaining a healthy democracy and ensuring that media plays a constructive role in shaping public perception of political issues.

In summary, the historical background of the role of media in shaping public perception of political issues highlights its evolution from print media and partisan press to the digital age of social media, while also underscoring the enduring influence of media on political discourse and public opinion.

Literature Review:

The relationship between media and public perception of political issues has been a subject of extensive scholarly inquiry. This literature review aims to synthesize key findings from existing research, identify gaps in the literature, and highlight areas for future investigation.

McCombs & Shaw, 1972:

The role of media in shaping public perception of political issues has been extensively studied, with agenda-setting theory serving as a foundational framework for understanding this phenomenon (McCombs & Shaw, 1972). Research by McCombs and Shaw and subsequent scholars has consistently demonstrated that media coverage plays a crucial role in determining which issues are perceived as important by the public (Iyengar& Kinder, 1987). This suggests that the salience of

political issues in media coverage can directly influence their perceived importance among the general population.

Entman (1993), Druckman (2001):

In addition to agenda setting, framing effects have emerged as another critical aspect of media influence on public perception. Framing, as described by Entman (1993), refers to how media outlets present and interpret political issues. Druckman (2001) found that framing can significantly impact public attitudes towards political issues by highlighting certain aspects while downplaying others. Moreover, Chong and Druckman (2007) noted that media framing often reflects the ideological biases of news organizations, further shaping audience perceptions.

Groeling (2010), (Stroud, 2010):

The presence of biases within media organizations, particularly partisan bias, has been a subject of considerable research interest. Groeling (2010) found evidence of partisan bias in news coverage, with media outlets often aligning with specific political ideologies. This alignment has contributed to political polarization and selective exposure among audiences (Stroud, 2010), exacerbating divisions within society.

(Bakshy et al., 2015), (Pariser, 2011):

The advent of social media platforms has introduced new dynamics to the relationship between media and public perception. Research has shown that social media can amplify the spread of political misinformation (Bakshy et al., 2015) and contribute to the formation of echo chambers and filter bubbles, where individuals are exposed only to information that reinforces their existing beliefs (Pariser, 2011).

Austin et al. (2019):

Recognizing the challenges posed by biased or misleading media representations, scholars have emphasized the importance of media literacy and critical thinking skills in mitigating media influence. Austin et al. (2019) found that interventions aimed at enhancing media literacy can improve individuals' ability to critically evaluate media content and resist manipulation, highlighting the potential for education to empower individuals in navigating the complex media landscape.

Underscores the multifaceted nature of media influence on public perception of political issues, encompassing agenda setting, framing effects, biases within media organizations, the impact of social media, and the importance of media literacy and critical thinking skills in mitigating undue influence. These insights provide a comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms through which media shape public opinion and underscore the importance of informed engagement with media content.

While existing research has provided valuable insights into the role of media in shaping public perception of political issues, there remain several areas for further investigation. Future

research should explore the long-term effects of media exposure on public attitudes, cross-cultural variations in media effects, and the effectiveness of media literacy interventions in diverse contexts. Additionally, as media landscapes continue to evolve, scholars must continue to adapt their methodologies and theoretical frameworks to capture the complexities of media influence in contemporary society.

Research gap:

While extensive research has been conducted on the role of media in shaping public perception of political issues, there are several key research gaps that warrant further investigation:

1.   Long-term Effects: Most studies focus on short-term effects of media coverage on public opinion. Research exploring the long-term effects of media exposure on public attitudes towards political issues, including how media narratives shape individuals' political identities and beliefs over time, is limited.

2.   Cross-Cultural Perspectives: The majority of research on media influence on public perception of political issues has been conducted in Western contexts. There is a need for more comparative and cross-cultural studies to examine how media operate in different cultural and political contexts and how cultural factors shape media effects on public opinion.

3.   Effects of New Media Technologies: With the advent of new media technologies such as social media platforms, the landscape of media influence on public perception has changed significantly. However, there is still a lack of comprehensive understanding of how these new technologies impact public opinion formation, including their effects on political polarization, misinformation, and echo chambers.

4.   Audience Responses: While studies often analyze media content and its effects on public perception, there is less research on how different audience groups respond to media representations of political issues. Understanding how individuals with varying levels of political knowledge, ideological orientations, and demographic characteristics interpret and engage with media content is crucial for comprehensively assessing media effects.

Research questions:

1.   How do traditional news outlets and social media platforms differ in their influence on public perception of political issues?

2.   What role does agenda-setting theory play in understanding how media shapes public perception of political issues?

3.   How do media outlets frame political narratives to influence audience interpretation and understanding?

4.   To what extent do biases within media organizations impact the presentation and coverage of political issues?

5.   How does the tone and language used in media coverage affect public attitudes towards political issues?

Research findings:

1.   Traditional news outlets typically adhere to journalistic standards and editorial guidelines, aiming to provide balanced and objective coverage of political issues. They often have professional journalists who follow ethical codes and fact-checking procedures. In contrast, social media platforms allow for the rapid dissemination of information by a diverse range of users, including individuals, organizations, and bots. This can lead to a proliferation of misinformation and the spread of polarizing or sensationalized narratives, potentially shaping public perception in unpredictable ways.

2.   Agenda-setting theory posits that the media has the power to influence which issues are perceived as important by the public through the selection and emphasis of news stories. By prioritizing certain topics and framing them in specific ways, media outlets can shape the public agenda and influence what issues receive attention and discussion. For example, extensive coverage of a particular political issue by news outlets can lead the public to perceive it as more significant or urgent.

3.   Media outlets frame political narratives by selecting which aspects of a story to emphasize and which to downplay or omit. Framing involves presenting information in a way that shapes audience interpretation and understanding. For instance, a news story about healthcare reform could be framed as a matter of public health, focusing on access to affordable care, or as an economic issue, emphasizing the cost of healthcare services. The framing chosen by media outlets can influence public attitudes and perceptions of political issues.

4.   Biases within media organizations can impact the presentation and coverage of political issues. These biases may stem from factors such as the political leanings of journalists and editors, corporate interests, or pressure from advertisers. For example, a media outlet with a conservative bias might provide more favorable coverage of conservative politicians and policies, while downplaying or criticizing liberal perspectives, and vice versa. These biases can shape the information presented to the public and influence public perception of political issues.

5.   The tone and language used in media coverage can have a significant impact on public attitudes towards political issues. Sensationalized or inflammatory language may evoke strong emotions and polarize audiences, while a more neutral or balanced tone can foster a greater understanding of the complexities involved. Additionally, the use of loaded language or framing can influence how audiences perceive the credibility and legitimacy of

different perspectives on political issues.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the role of media in shaping public perception of political issues is both significant and complex, encompassing a multitude of influences and dynamics. Throughout this analysis, we have explored how traditional news outlets and social media platforms differ in their influence on public perception, the role of agenda-setting theory in understanding media influence, the framing of political narratives by media outlets, the impact of biases within media organizations, the effects of tone and language in media coverage, the rise of user-generated content and algorithmic curation on social media, the responses of different demographic groups to media representations, the implications for democratic engagement and civic discourse, and the challenges faced by media outlets in balancing objectivity and audience appeal.

Media outlets play a crucial role in shaping public opinion by selecting which issues to cover, how to frame those issues, and the tone and language used in coverage. These decisions can influence which issues receive attention and discussion, how they are perceived by the public, and ultimately, the outcomes of political debates and elections. However, biases within media organizations, the spread of misinformation, and the polarization of political discourse pose significant challenges to the integrity and effectiveness of media influence.

Despite these challenges, there are opportunities to enhance media literacy and critical thinking skills among the public, thereby mitigating the influence of media bias and misinformation. By promoting media literacy education, fact-checking initiatives, and the diversification of media sources, individuals can become more discerning consumers of media content and better equipped to navigate the complexities of political discourse.

In a rapidly changing media landscape, characterized by the proliferation of digital technologies and the democratization of information dissemination, the role of media in shaping public perception of political issues will continue to evolve. As such, it is imperative for scholars, policymakers, media professionals, and citizens alike to remain vigilant in understanding and addressing the challenges and opportunities presented by media influence in contemporary society. Only through a concerted effort to promote transparency, accountability, and critical thinking can we ensure that the media serves as a constructive force for informed democratic engagement and civic discourse.

References:

1. Darshan, B. M., and Kalyani Suresh (2017). Changing Structures of Contemporary Political Communication: A Review of Literature Related to Social Networking Sites in the Indian Political Context. International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, 8 (1), pp. 2080–2083. https://doi.org/10.14299/ijser.2017.01.015

2. Aneez, Z., Chattapadhyay, S., Parthasarathi,V. and Nielsen, R. K (2017). Indian news media and the production of news in the age of social discovery .Rueters Institute Report Decemebr20017. Retrieved

   fromhttps://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2017-12/Indian%20news%20media%20and%20the%20production%20of%20news%20in%20the%20age%20of%20soc ial%20discovery_0.pdf on 26.08.19

3. Arya, D (2013) Why are Indian Women being attacked on social media? Retrieved from https://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-india-22378366 on 26.08.19

4. Aström, J., &Karlsson, M. (2016). The feminine style, the male influence, and the paradox of gendered political blog space . Information, Communication & Society, 19(11): 1636–1652. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2016.1154088

5. Baishya, and Anirban K. (2015). #NaMo: The Political Work of the Selfie in the 2014 Indian General Election. International Journal of Communication, 28 (9), 1686–700.

6. Bathla, S. (2004) Gender Construction in the Media: A Study of Two Indian Women Politicians, Asian Journal of Women's Studies, 10 (3), 7-34. https://doi.org/10.1080/12259276.2004.11665973

7. Bracciale, R, and Martella, A. (2017). Define the populist political communication style: the case of Italian political leaders on Twitter. Information, Communication & Society, 20(9), 1310-1329. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2017.1328522

8. . Burson-Marsteller. (2017). Twiplomacy 2017 – Full Study. Retrieved September 25, 2017, Retrievedfrom http://www.burson-marsteller.com/what-we-do/ourthinking/twiplomacy2017/twiplomacy-2017-fullstudy on 18.11.18

9. Chaudhuri, S., and Fitzgerald, S. 2015. Rape Protests in India and the Birth of a New Repertoire. Social Movement Studies, 14(5), 622–628. https://doi.org/10.1080/14742837.2015.1037261

10. Chopra, R (2019). In India, Whatsapp is a weapon of antisocial hatred. Retrieved from https://www.downtoearth.org.in/news/general-elections-2019/in-indiawhatsapp-is-a-weapon-of-antisocial-hatred64140 on 26.08.