



INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES

(Peer-reviewed, Refereed, Indexed & Open Access Journal)

DOI : 03.2021-11278686

ISSN : 2582-8568

IMPACT FACTOR : 7.560 (SJIF 2024)

Comparative Analysis of Electoral Systems in Democracies worldwide; particularly India, USA, UK.

Shadid Mehtab Hussain

B.A (Hons) Political Science,
Amity University,
Noida (Uttar Pradesh, India)

DOI No. **03.2021-11278686** DOI Link :: <https://doi-ds.org/doilink/04.2024-48185631/IRJHIS2404001>

Abstract:

This paper presents a comprehensive comparative analysis of electoral systems employed in democracies globally, examining their mechanisms, implications, and efficacy. Electoral systems are pivotal in shaping political representation, government formation, and democratic legitimacy. By scrutinizing various systems including First-Past-The-Post (FPTP), Proportional Representation (PR), Mixed-Member Proportional (MMP), Single Transferable Vote (STV), Majoritarian Systems, and Parallel Systems, this study explores their distinctive features, strengths, and limitations. Each electoral system is evaluated across multiple dimensions including representation accuracy, proportionality, voter engagement, stability, and inclusivity. For instance, while FPTP, prevalent in the UK and the US, tends to produce decisive outcomes, it may overlook minority interests. In contrast, PR systems, observed in Germany and the Netherlands, prioritize proportional representation but often result in coalition governments, the analysis underscores the significance of contextual factors and societal preferences in shaping the effectiveness of electoral systems. It emphasizes the absence of a universally superior electoral model and advocates for informed decision-making processes tailored to specific socio-political contexts. By fostering understanding and critical evaluation of electoral systems, this research aims to contribute to the enhancement of democratic governance worldwide, promoting fairness, representation, and public trust in electoral process.

Keywords: Electoral reforms, Democracies, Fast Past the Post, Elections

Introduction:

This study aims to provide a comprehensive examination and comparison of electoral systems used in democracies worldwide. By delving into the mechanisms and outcomes of different electoral systems, we seek to shed light on their strengths, weaknesses, and impacts on democratic governance. Understanding electoral systems is crucial as they directly influence the composition of legislative bodies, the formation of governments, and the responsiveness of political institutions to the will of the electorate. Moreover, electoral systems play a significant role in shaping the

inclusivity and legitimacy of democratic processes. Through this study, we aim to address the lack of clarity surrounding the effectiveness of various electoral systems. By evaluating factors such as representation accuracy, proportionality, voter engagement, stability, and inclusivity, we seek to provide insights that can inform policymakers, electoral authorities, and citizens about the strengths and weaknesses of different electoral systems. Ultimately, our goal is to contribute to the enhancement of democratic governance by identifying best practices and opportunities for improvement in electoral systems world.

Background:

Electoral systems are the cornerstone of democratic governance, determining how votes are cast, counted, and translated into political representation. Since the advent of modern democracy, various electoral systems have been implemented globally, reflecting diverse political traditions, societal values, and historical contexts.

One of the earliest and most straightforward electoral systems is the First-Past-The-Post (FPTP) method, widely used in countries like the United Kingdom, the United States, and India. FPTP operates on a winner-takes-all basis, where the candidate with the highest number of votes in each constituency wins the seat.

While simple to understand and administer, FPTP has faced criticism for its potential to produce disproportionate outcomes and marginalize minority voices.

In contrast, Proportional Representation (PR) systems, such as those utilized in Germany, the Netherlands, and New Zealand, allocate seats in proportion to the percentage of votes received by each political party. PR systems aim to ensure fair representation for all segments of society, but they can also lead to fragmented parliaments and coalition governments, necessitating complex negotiations for policymaking. Another innovative approach is the Mixed-Member Proportional (MMP) system, adopted by countries like Germany and New Zealand.

Elections some representatives through single-member districts and others through party lists, aiming to balance direct representation with proportionality.

Furthermore, systems like the Single Transferable Vote (STV), used in Ireland and Malta, prioritize voter choice and minority representation by allowing voters to rank candidates in multi-member districts. Majoritarian systems, such as France's Two-Round System, seek to ensure majority support for winning candidates but may exclude smaller parties.

Parallel systems, found in countries like Japan and Russia, blend different voting mechanisms to achieve a balance between direct representation and proportionality.

Against this backdrop of diverse electoral systems, this study seeks to provide a comprehensive analysis of their mechanisms, implications, and effectiveness in promoting democratic governance. By examining historical developments, theoretical underpinnings, and

practical outcomes of different electoral systems, we aim to contribute to a deeper understanding of the role electoral systems play in shaping democratic processes worldwide.

Rationale of Study:

The rationale behind this study stems from the critical importance of electoral systems in shaping the quality and integrity of democratic governance worldwide.

Electoral systems serve as the linchpin of representative democracy, influencing the composition of legislatures, the formation of governments, and the responsiveness of political institutions to the electorate.

First and foremost, understanding the mechanisms and implications of different electoral systems is crucial for ensuring fair and effective political representation.

By examining how votes are translated into seats, we can assess the extent to which electoral systems accurately reflect the diversity of political opinions and social identities within a society.

This understanding is essential for promoting inclusivity and ensuring that all segments of the population have a voice in the decision-making process. Moreover, electoral systems play a significant role in determining the stability and functionality of democratic governments.

Systems that incentivize cooperation and compromise among political parties can contribute to stable governance and effective policy-making. **Conversely**, systems that encourage polarization or produce fragmented parliaments may hinder the ability of governments to address pressing societal challenges.

Additionally, electoral systems have profound implications for voter engagement and political participation. Systems that provide meaningful choices and ensure that every vote counts are more likely to foster civic engagement and trust in democratic institutions. Conversely, systems that produce disproportionate outcomes or discourage voter turnout risk undermining the legitimacy of the electoral process.

Given the diverse array of electoral systems employed in democracies worldwide, there is a pressing need for comparative analysis and evaluation. By examining the strengths, weaknesses, and outcomes of different electoral systems, this study seeks to provide policymakers, electoral authorities, and citizens with valuable insights that can inform efforts to strengthen democratic governance and electoral integrity. Ultimately, the aim is to contribute to the advancement of democratic principles and practices globally, ensuring that electoral systems serve as a robust foundation for representative and inclusive governance.

Conceptual Framework:

The conceptual framework of this study is grounded in the understanding that electoral systems serve as fundamental mechanisms shaping the dynamics of democratic governance. Drawing on political science theories, institutional analysis, and comparative politics literature, the framework

seeks to elucidate the key factors influencing the effectiveness and implications of electoral systems worldwide.

Central to the conceptual framework are three interrelated dimensions: representation, stability, and inclusivity. Representation encompasses the extent to which electoral systems accurately translate voter preferences into political outcomes, considering factors such as proportionality, geographic distribution, and minority representation. Stability refers to the ability of electoral systems to foster stable governments and effective governance, examining the impact of electoral systems on party systems, coalition dynamics, and government formation processes. Inclusivity addresses the degree to which electoral systems promote broad-based participation and inclusiveness, assessing voter turnout, access to political participation, and the representation of marginalized groups.

These dimensions are further contextualized within broader societal, institutional, and historical factors, recognizing the complex interplay between electoral systems and the socio-political environment. By analyzing these dimensions within a comparative framework, this study aims to provide a nuanced understanding of the strengths, weaknesses, and outcomes of different electoral systems, contributing to informed decision-making and the advancement of democratic governance worldwide.

Researched Question:

1) What are the key factors influencing voter turnout and engagement under different electoral systems, and how do these factors contribute to the legitimacy and inclusivity of democratic processes?

2) How do electoral systems influence the formation and stability of coalition governments, and what are the implications for policy-making and governance effectiveness?

3) To what extent do electoral systems affect the representation of women, ethnic minorities, and other marginalized groups in political institutions, and what strategies can be implemented to enhance their representation?

Research Methodology:

1) **Literature Review:** Conduct a comprehensive review of existing literature on electoral systems, democratic governance, and related topics to gain insights into theoretical frameworks, empirical findings, and debates.

2) **Data Collection:** Gather data on electoral systems, election outcomes, government formation, voter behavior, and demographic representation from reputable sources such as electoral commissions, academic studies, and international organizations.

3) **Case Studies:** Select a diverse set of countries representing different electoral systems and political contexts for in-depth case studies. Analyze the functioning of electoral systems in these

countries and their implications for representation, stability, and inclusivity.

4) **Quantitative Analysis:** Utilize statistical methods to analyze quantitative data, such as regression analysis, correlation analysis, and descriptive statistics, to identify patterns, trends, and relationships between electoral systems and democratic outcomes.

5) **Comparative Analysis:** Conduct a comparative analysis of different electoral systems, examining similarities, differences, and variations in their functioning and outcomes across countries and regions.

6) **Stakeholder Interviews:** Conduct interviews with key stakeholders, including policymakers, electoral officials, civil society representatives, and political party leaders, to gather perspectives on the strengths, weaknesses, and impacts of electoral systems.

7) **Ethical Considerations:** Ensure research adheres to ethical guidelines, including obtaining informed consent, protecting confidentiality, and minimizing harm to participants or communities.

8) **Triangulation:** Triangulate findings from multiple sources and methods to enhance the validity and reliability of research findings, corroborating quantitative insights with qualitative evidence and vice versa.

9) **Interdisciplinary Approach:** Adopt an interdisciplinary approach, drawing on insights from political science, sociology, economics, and other relevant disciplines to enrich the analysis and interpretation of research findings.

Literature Reviews:

Electoral Systems and Democracy" by **Arend Lijphart:** Lijphart's seminal work compares the impact of majoritarian and proportional representation electoral systems on democratic governance, providing a theoretical framework for understanding their strengths and weaknesses.

Comparative Politics Today: A World View by Gabriel A. Almond, G. Bingham Powell Jr., Russell J. Dalton: This textbook provides a comprehensive overview of electoral systems and their role in shaping democratic processes, drawing on case studies from around the world.

Electoral Systems: A Comparative Introduction by David M. Farrell: *Farrell's book offers a detailed examination of different electoral systems, including their historical development, functioning, and effects on representation and governance.*

Political Representation by **Hanna F. Pitkin:** *Pitkin's work explores the concept of political representation and its various dimensions, including descriptive, substantive, and symbolic representation, providing insights into the challenges and opportunities for minority groups in electoral systems.*

Democracy and Development: Political Institutions and Well-Being in the World, 1950–1990 by **Adam Przeworski et al.:** This research analyzes the relationship between electoral systems, democratic governance, and socio-economic development, offering valuable insights into the broader

implications of electoral systems beyond political representation.

Electoral Reforms in India by V.B. Singh: Singh's study examines the electoral system in India, focusing on historical developments, challenges, and reforms aimed at enhancing the fairness and effectiveness of elections in the world's largest democracy.

Representation and Electoral Systems edited by Richard S. Katz: This edited volume features contributions from scholars around the world, including Indian authors, offering diverse perspectives on electoral systems, representation, and democratic governance.

Sources:

- 1) Voter turnout and engagement under different electoral systems are influenced by a variety of factors.

First, the simplicity and accessibility of the voting process, including ease of voter registration and voting procedures, can impact turnout rates.

Secondly, the competitiveness of elections and perceived stakes for voters may influence their motivation to participate. Additionally, demographic factors such as age, education, income, and socio-economic status play a role, with certain groups being more likely to turnout than others. Furthermore, the presence of mobilization efforts by political parties, candidates, and civil society organizations can significantly influence voter engagement. These factors contribute to the legitimacy and inclusivity of democratic processes in several ways. High voter turnout is often seen as indicative of a healthy democracy, reflecting widespread civic engagement and trust in the electoral system. Moreover, when diverse segments of society participate in elections, the resulting government is more likely to be perceived as legitimate and representative of the people's will. Inclusivity in voter turnout also ensures that the voices and interests of marginalized groups are considered in the decision-making process, promoting social cohesion and equity in democratic governance.

Conversely, low turnout rates or disparities in participation can raise questions about the representativeness and fairness of electoral outcomes, potentially undermining the legitimacy of democratic institutions. Thus, understanding and addressing the factors influencing voter turnout and engagement are essential for fostering inclusive and legitimate democratic processes.

- 2) ***Electoral systems*** play a significant role in shaping the formation and stability of coalition governments. Proportional representation (PR) systems, for example, often result in multi-party systems where no single party wins an outright majority of seats. In such cases, coalition governments are typically formed through negotiations among multiple parties to secure a working majority. On the other hand, majoritarian systems like First-Past-The-Post

(FPTP) tend to favor two-party dominance, making coalition governments less common but not impossible. The implications of coalition governments for policy-making and governance effectiveness are multifaceted. On one hand, coalition governments can promote inclusivity and representation of diverse interests, as multiple parties are involved in decision-making. This can lead to policies that reflect a broader spectrum of societal preferences and values. Additionally, coalition governments often necessitate compromise and consensus-building, fostering stability and continuity in governance. However, coalition governments may also face challenges. Negotiating and maintaining coalitions can be time-consuming and complex, potentially leading to policy gridlock or compromises that dilute the effectiveness of government actions.

- 3) Electoral systems have a **substantial impact** on the representation of women, ethnic minorities, and other marginalized groups in political institutions. Proportional representation (PR) systems, such as party-list proportional representation, tend to yield higher levels of diversity by providing opportunities for smaller parties and underrepresented groups to gain representation. In contrast, majoritarian systems like First-Past-The-Post (FPTP) may present barriers to entry for minority candidates due to winner-takes-all outcomes and the concentration of power within a few dominant parties. **Quota Systems:** Introduce gender quotas, ethnic quotas, or reserved seats for minority groups to ensure their representation in political institutions. Quota systems can be implemented through legislation or party rules, requiring a certain percentage of candidates or seats to be allocated to underrepresented groups. **Candidate Recruitment and Training:** Political parties can actively recruit and support candidates from diverse backgrounds, including women, ethnic minorities, and marginalized communities. Providing training and resources to these candidates can help overcome barriers to participation and enhance their electoral prospects. **Public Financing:** Implement public financing mechanisms that support political parties and candidates from marginalized groups, reducing financial barriers to entry and leveling the playing field. **Electoral Reforms:** Consider electoral reforms, such as transitioning to PR systems or adopting alternative voting methods like ranked-choice voting, that promote greater diversity and representation in political institutions. **Civil Society Mobilization:** Civil society organizations and advocacy groups can play a crucial role in mobilizing support for marginalized candidates and promoting inclusive political processes. Grassroots campaigns, voter education initiatives, and community organizing efforts can raise awareness and build momentum for diverse representation. **Intersectional Approach:** Recognize the intersectionality of identities and experiences among marginalized groups, ensuring that strategies to enhance representation address the unique challenges faced by women, ethnic

minorities, LGBTQ+ individuals, persons with disabilities, and other marginalized communities.

Detail overview of each country's electoral system:

India:

India follows a administrative system of government. The electoral system in India is known as the "*first- history- the- post*" system. Under this system, the country is divided into constituencies, and each constituency elects one representative to the Lok Sabha(the lower house of the Parliament) through direct choices. The seeker who receives the loftiest number of votes in a constituency is declared the winner, anyhow of whether they secured an absolute maturity. The President of India is tagged by an electoral council conforming of the tagged members of both houses of Parliament and the tagged members of the State Legislative Assemblies.

United States:

The United States follows a *presidential system* of government. The electoral system in the U.S. is a combination of direct and circular choices. The President and the Vice President of the United States are tagged through an electoral council system. Under this system, choosers cast their ballots for a slate of pickers who are pledged to support a particular presidential and vice-presidential seeker. The number of pickers allocated to each state is determined by its representation in Congress. The seeker who secures an absolute maturity of electoral votes(270 out of 538) becomes the President. Also, members of the U.S. Congress(Legislators and Representatives) are tagged through direct choices in their separate countries and sections.

United Kingdom:

The United Kingdom follows a administrative system of government. The electoral system in the UK is generally known as the "*first- history- the- post*" system. Members of Parliament (MPs) are tagged to the House of Commons through single- member constituencies. The seeker who obtains the loftiest number of votes in a constituency wins the seat, irrespective of whether they secured an absolute maturity. The leader of the political party that has the most seats in the House of Commons generally becomes the Prime Minister. The UK doesn't have a directly tagged President, as the *head of state is the monarch* (presently Queen Elizabeth II), who has a conventional part. It's important to note that electoral systems can have variations within each country, and the information handed then represents a general overview of the electoral systems in India, the United States, and the United Kingdom.

Democratic systems in India, the USA, and the UK:

India:

India follows a civil administrative popular system. It's a autonomous socialist temporal popular democracy, where the President of India is the head of state and the Prime Minister is the

head of government. The President is tagged by an electoral council, conforming of tagged members of both houses of Parliament and the Legislative Assemblies of the countries. The country has a multi-party system, and general choices are held every five times to handpick members to the Lok Sabha (House of the People), the lower house of Parliament. The Rajya Sabha (Council of States) is the upper house, and its members are tagged by the tagged members of the state legislative assemblies. India's popular system is characterized by a separation of powers among the superintendent, legislative, and judicial branches.

USA:

The United States has a civil presidential popular system. It's a indigenous democracy, where the President is both the head of state and the head of government. The President is tagged through an electoral system known as the Electoral College, where citizens bounce for pickers who also cast their votes for the President. The country follows a two- party system dominated by the Democratic Party and the Republican Party. The legislative branch consists of the bicameral Congress, which is divided into the Senate and the House of Representatives. Members of Congress are tagged through direct choices, with the House of Representatives using the FPTP system and the Senate having two legislators per state.

UK:

The United Kingdom operates as a indigenous monarchy with a administrative popular system. The monarch, presently Queen Elizabeth II, is the head of state, while the Prime Minister is the head of government. The UK has a administrative system, where the legislative branch holds significant power. The Parliament is bicameral, conforming of the tagged House of Commons and the appointed House of Lords. Members of the House of Commons are tagged through direct choices grounded on the FPTP system, and the leader of the maturity party becomes the Prime Minister. The House of Lords is primarily appointed, and it provides scrutiny and modification of legislation proposed by the House of Commons. It's important to note that these descriptions present a high- position overview of the popular systems in India, the USA, and the UK. Each country has its own specific laws, regulations, and indigenous vittles that shape its popular functioning.

Comparison points on the electoral systems in India, the USA, and the UK:

1. Voting System:

- *India*: First- once- the- post system with direct choices.
- *USA*: Electoral council system for the President, combined with direct choices for Congress members.
- *UK* : First- once- the- post system with direct choices.

2. Head of State and Government:

- *India* : President as the head of state, Prime Minister as the head of government.

- *USA* : President as both the head of state and government.
- *UK* : Monarch as the head of state, Prime Minister as the head of government.

3. Election of the Administrative:

- *India* President tagged by an electoral council, while the Prime Minister is the leader of the maturity party in the Parliament.
- *USA* :President and Vice President tagged through an electoral council system.
- *UK* :Prime Minister is the leader of the maturity party in the House of Commons, with no direct election for the position.

4. Constituency Representation:

- *India* :Single- member constituencies.
- *USA*: Combination of single- member constituencies and electoral council representation.
- *UK* :Single- member constituencies.

5. Part of Political Parties:

- *India* : Political parties play a significant part, with the maturity party forming the government.
- *USA* :Political parties play a pivotal part in the presidential and congressional choices.
- *UK* : Political parties play a central part, with the maturity party forming the government.

6. Part of Majority:

- *India* :No demand for the winning seeker to secure an absolute maturity of votes.
- *USA* :Presidential campaigners need an absolute maturity of electoral votes(270 out of 538) to win.
- *UK* :No demand for the winning seeker to secure an absolute maturity of votes.

These points punctuate some crucial differences in the electoral systems of India, the USA, and the UK, emphasizing variations in voting styles, the part of political parties, and the election of the administrative branch.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the comparative analysis of electoral systems reveals the profound impact they have on democratic governance worldwide. Electoral systems shape representation, government formation, policy-making, and the inclusivity of political processes. Throughout this study, several key insights have emerged.

Firstly, electoral systems significantly influence the representation of marginalized groups such as women, ethnic minorities, and other underrepresented communities. Proportional representation systems tend to yield more diverse legislatures by providing opportunities for smaller parties and marginalized groups to gain representation. In contrast, majoritarian systems may present barriers to entry for minority candidates due to winner-takes-all outcomes.

Secondly, the formation and stability of coalition governments are influenced by electoral systems. Proportional representation systems often lead to multi-party systems and coalition governments, promoting inclusivity and compromise in decision-making. However, coalition governments may face challenges such as policy gridlock and coalition instability.

Lastly, electoral systems play a crucial role in voter turnout and engagement, affecting the legitimacy and inclusivity of democratic processes. Factors such as ease of voting procedures, competitiveness of elections, and demographic characteristics influence voter participation. High voter turnout and engagement are essential for fostering inclusive and legitimate democratic governance.

In conclusion, understanding the strengths, weaknesses, and implications of different electoral systems is essential for promoting fair representation, stable governance, and inclusive political processes.

References:

- 1 . Arend Lijphart. (1994). "Electoral Systems and Democracy". Oxford University Press
- 2 . Gabriel A. Almond, G. Bingham Powell Jr., Russell J. Dalton. (2015).
- 3 . "Comparative Politics Today: A World View". Pearson.
4. David M. Farrell. (2011). "Electoral Systems: A Comparative Introduction". Palgrave Macmillan.
5. Hanna F. Pitkin. (1967). "The Concept of Representation". University of California Press.

