INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES
(Peer-reviewed, Refereed, Indexed & Open Access Journal)
DOI : 03.2021-11278686 ISSN : 2582-8568 IMPACT FACTOR : 8.031 (SJIF 2025)

Geopolitical Implications of DeepSeek's Rise: The U.S.-China AI Race

Deshna Jain[1], Priyam Nagar [2], Dr. Shivani Vasts [3], Dr. Priyanka Gandhi[4]

[1,2] Ug Students, Information Technology Department, Jagan Institute of Management Studies, Sector 5, Rohini, New Delhi, India

[3,4] Assistant Professor, Information Technology Department, Jagan Institute of Management Studies, Sector 5 Rohini, New Delhi, India

DOI No. 03.2021-11278686 **DOI Link ::** https://doi-ds.org/doilink/05.2025-52488476/IRJHIS2505032

Abstract:

The rise of AI as a strategic asset has intensified U.S.-China competition, with DeepSeek exemplifying China's ambitions for AI dominance. This paper explores its geopolitical implications, including national security risks, economic impact, technological advancements, and regulatory challenges. Key concerns include AI's dual-use capabilities, competition for innovation leadership, and the fragmentation of global AI governance. DeepSeek's growth raises concerns over data privacy, surveillance applications, and ethical AI deployment, necessitating stronger policy responses. The study examines U.S. counterstrategies such as export controls, AI investment incentives, and multilateral cooperation. It also analyses China's AI-driven policies that enhance DeepSeek's competitive edge. Methodologically, this paper synthesizes literature reviews, case studies, and expert insights. Findings indicate that DeepSeek's emergence accelerates the shift toward a multipolar AI landscape, requiring proactive policymaking and international alliances. The study concludes with recommendations for strategic AI governance and ethical considerations in navigating the AI rivalry.

Keywords: *AI Geopolitics, U.S.-China Tech Rivalry, DeepSeek AI, Artificial Intelligence Supremacy, Strategic AI Governance, AI Export Controls, Surveillance Technologies, Digital Sovereignty, AI in National Security, Open-Source AI Models, Multilateral AI Policy, AI Ethics and Regulation, Techno-Economic Warfare, AI Arms Race, AI Innovation Ecosystems.*

I. INTRODUCTION:

The rapid emergence of a Chinese artificial intelligence (AI) company called DeepSeek has intensified the U.S.-China struggle for technological dominance, making AI a key area of geopolitical conflict [1]. Founded in 2023, DeepSeek disrupted conventional AI paradigms by developing open-source, low-cost models like DeepSeek-R1 that drastically reduce processing costs while competing with its Western counterparts, such as OpenAI's GPT-4 [2]. China's intentional

efforts to achieve technical independence are demonstrated by this invention, particularly in view of U.S. sanctions and export limitations on semiconductors that target its artificial intelligence sector [3]. In response, the US announced the Stargate Project, a $500 billion public-private initiative aimed at integrating AI infrastructure and countering China's innovations [4].This competition, however, goes beyond technological superiority: both countries put data collection ahead of user privacy, using Chinese systems such as DeepSeek that benefit from state-sponsored monitoring initiatives, while American companies use user data in environments with lax regulations [5]. This paper looks at how the emergence of DeepSeek changes the geopolitical landscape of the AI race, examining how it impacts global power dynamics, ethical management, and the conflict between open-source cooperation and private authority.By looking at these connections, the study draws attention to the dangers of techno-fascism and the pressing need for fair legislation to preserve democratic principles in an AI-powered society. Founded in 2023, DeepSeek is a Chinese AI business that competes with GPT-4 at training costs 90% lower ($6 million) with its DeepSeek-R1 model.changed the international AI contest. This breakthrough questioned American technological supremacy and demonstrated China's capacity for innovation in spite of semiconductor restrictions [6].

By using cutting-edge techniques like Test Time Scaling to get around semiconductor restrictions, DeepSeek's R1 model challenged American AI dominance and achieved GPT-4-level performance at a significantly lower cost ($6 million).

1. The United States launched the $500 billion Stargate Project as a countermeasure to the rise of advanced AIs such as DeepSeek's R1, intensifying the global debate on AI ethics between Western data privacy ideals and China's surveillance-focused strategy.
2. As a result of shifting chip demand, Nvidia's stock price fell 17% [8].

II METHODEOLOGY

A. Research Approach:

- This study uses a mixed-methods approach, integrating qualitative and quantitative techniques to investigate the ethical, technological, and geopolitical facets of DeepSeek's impact on the AI race between the United States and China. Both depth and scope are ensured by this integrated approach:
- *Depth is provided through qualitative insights gathered from policy evaluations, expert conversations, and case studies that delve into geopolitical and regulatory complexity.*
- *Scope Incorporating numerical data about financing trends, AI deployment, and technology standards ensures scope and makes it possible to identify systemic advancements and larger patterns.*

B. Uncommon Methodologies:

- Comparative Analysis: Assess the methods used in AI development (e.g., China's open-source

frameworks funded by the government against private systems headed by US corporations). Examine regulatory frameworks (such as the U.S. CHIPS Act and China's New Generation AI Development Plan) to ascertain how they affect AI innovation.

- Scenario-Based Analysis: To predict upcoming geopolitical and economic repercussions, create hypothetical situations (such as "AI Separation" vs. "Worldwide AI Regulation"). Analyse how DeepSeek's expansion in emerging nations would affect different regulatory systems.

C. Data Collection:

- *Literature Review*: Analyse academic papers, government reports, and industry whitepapers on AI geopolitics, focusing on DeepSeek's technological and strategic positioning.
- *Expert Interviews*: Hold semi-structured interviews with policymakers (such as Chinese and American regulatory agencies), industry leaders (such as executives from Alibaba, OpenAI, or Tencent), and AI researchers (such as those from Chinese tech companies and American institutions).
- *Case Studies*: Look at actual DeepSeek technology deployments, such as its incorporation into China's Social Credit System. To evaluate ethical and societal risks, compare with AI implementations in the United States (such as predictive policing systems).
- Literature Review: Highlight DeepSeek's technological and strategic position by analysing academic papers, official documents, and industry whitepapers about AI geopolitics.

D. Data Analysis

- *Qualitative Analysis:*Determine recurrent themes in policy documents and interviews using qualitative analysis (e.g., "techno-nationalism," "surveillance capitalism"). Examine political and media discourses that portray DeepSeek as a threat or a strategic advantage.
- *Quantitative Analysis*: Compile survey data on metrics related to AI adoption and trust. Examine correlations between factors, such as R&D spending and AI patent applications.
- *Justification of Methodological Choices*
- *Mixed-Methods:*blends empirical confirmation (quantitative) with subtle geopolitical insights (qualitative).
- *Comparative Analysis:* Draws attention to the differences between Chinese and American strategies, exposing both strengths and weaknesses.
- *Scenario-Based Analysis:* helps industry stakeholders and policymakers by projecting future AI race scenarios.

III. RISE OF DEEPSEEK:

With its DeepSeek-R1 model, which competed with GPT-4 at a 90% lower training cost ($6 million), the Chinese AI startup DeepSeek, founded in 2023, upended the global AI battle. This

development challenged American technology dominance and demonstrated China's inventiveness in spite of semiconductor regulations. [9]

A. Founding and Strategic Vision [9]

- Reasonably priced options: developed cost-effective AI models that rival Western technology at a mere 5% of the cost, revolutionising traditional R&D funding.
- Open-source environment: Transparent AI frameworks were created to reduce dependency on exclusive Western technologies.
- *Emerging markets focus:* Emphasis on emerging markets: solutions created especially to address the infrastructure constraints of developing countries
- *STEM talent leverage:*Leveraged China's 3.57 million annual STEM graduates to increase innovation through STEM talent

B. Technological Innovations [10]

- Sparse MoE structure: Efficiency is maximised by a 671B-parameter model with just 12B active parameters for each task.
- *GRPO training*: A novel approach to reinforcement learning that enables self-directed skill development
- Performance equality: Competes with GPT-4 in mathematical reasoning and is 20 times more cost-efficient.
- Multimodal advancementJanus-Pro-7B's conversion from sketch to code showcases distinct cross-modal comprehension.

C. Global Impact and Future [11]

- Global accessibility: Made it possible for AI to be adopted in the healthcare and agricultural sectors of developing countries;
- Market disruption: Spurred a $1 trillion tech stock selloff and a 17% Nvidia drop within a week of launch;
- Closing the gap: Decreased the US-China AI lead from years to just three months in crucial domains

Future standards: Cutting-edge consumer hardware applications for computing

Feature	DeepSeek-R1	GPT-4	Gemini Ultra
Training Cost	$6M	$100M+	$191M
Architecture	Sparse MoE	Dense	Multimodal
Open Source	Full	None	Partial
Energy Efficiency	12.5 TOPS/W	8.2 TOPS/W	9.1 TOPS/W
Coding Performance	68.9%	78.4%	70.2%

IV. GEOPOLITICAL IMPLICATIONS:

A. Geostrategic Shifts in Global Alliances and AI Leadership

- The rise of DeepSeek has impacted diplomatic ties by escalating the technological rivalry between the United States and China. In an effort to keep control over AI governance, the U.S. has responded by partnering with nations like the EU and Japan to create a common framework for AI regulations. China, meanwhile, is using DeepSeek to improve relations with developing countries by providing technological assistance and reasonably priced AI solutions.
- The emergence of DeepSeek signals a dramatic change in the global AI leadership landscape and challenges the United States' long-standing hegemony in the field. AI research and implementation have historically been spearheaded by American tech behemoths like OpenAI, Google, and Microsoft. China is a strong competitor in the AI market, though, thanks to DeepSeek's quick development, substantial government investment, and AI-friendly regulations. [12]

B. China's Technological Autonomy and Strategic Positioning

- In an effort to impede China's advancement, the United States has placed restrictions on AI and semiconductor exports; however, DeepSeek's success shows that China is capable of autonomous innovation. A more multipolar AI ecosystem is the result of this change, and China can provide substitute AI models and technologies, particularly to non-Western nations wishing to lessen their reliance on American AI infrastructure
- AI is now acknowledged as a strategic national asset that shapes political, military, and economic power. With countries making significant investments in AI-driven defence, cyber security, and industrial automation, DeepSeek's developments demonstrate how AI has evolved into a tool for geopolitical influence. China's strategic positioning against Western AI initiatives is strengthened by the incorporation of AI into its national security and governance frameworks.[13]

C. Ideological Contest and the Escalating AI Arms Race

- This AI rivalry encompasses ideological disagreements over AI governance in addition to economic competition. While China's strategy places more emphasis on state control and centralized decision-making, the United States advocates AI policies that emphasize transparency and democratic values. The emergence of DeepSeek allows China to export its AI governance model, changing international AI standards and undermining Western supremacy in AI security and ethics regulations
- With both countries giving priority to AI research in military applications, surveillance, and economic competitiveness, the U.S. and China are intensifying their AI arms race. The part DeepSeek plays in China's AI strategy is a prime example of how AI is now more than just a technical instrument; it is a major force behind both national security and international influence.

AI will probably change international power structures, trade partnerships, and alliances as it develops further.[14]

V. U.S. POLICY RESPONSES:

A. Technological Restrictions and China's Adaptive Response:

- Under laws like the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (IEEPA) and the Export Control Reform Act (ECRA), the U.S. has imposed strict export controls to limit China's AI development, especially in light of emerging firms like DeepSeek. These regulations limit China's access to cutting-edge semiconductors, such as the H100 and A100 GPUs from Nvidia, which are necessary for training massive AI models.
- Preventing authoritarian governments from utilizing dual-use AI applications and preserving American technological superiority are the objectives. Critics counter that by forcing companies like DeepSeek to optimize their models for antiquated or restricted hardware, like H800 chips, these controls have inadvertently encouraged Chinese innovation. This shift to algorithmic efficiency demonstrates China's increasing independence as it maximizes output from available resources in order to adjust to technological limitations.[15]

B. U.S. Strategic Response: Innovation, Export Policy Tightening, and Global Alliances:

The United States has doubled down on strengthening export controls and encouraging domestic AI innovation in response to China's adaptability and resilience.

- In an effort to plug gaps and stop smuggling, updated export regulations have broadened restrictions to now cover chips like the H800 and H20. These actions demonstrate a pressing effort to prevent China from obtaining future high-volume chip supplies, which are essential for developing the highly intelligent AI systems that are anticipated to be developed by 2026–2027.
- The United States is making significant domestic investments in compute infrastructure and AI research and development. Scaling laws are the focus of frontier labs like OpenAI and Anthropic, where performance increases exponentially with an increase in training compute. For instance, Claude 3.5 Sonnet demonstrated leadership through algorithm-hardware synergy by outperforming GPT-4 on benchmarks and achieving 10x cost efficiency. In an effort to counter China's low-cost AI model development and lessen dependency on raw compute, U.S. policymakers are also pushing businesses to concentrate on data and algorithm efficiency.
- In order to secure semiconductor supply chains and implement multilateral export controls, the United States is strengthening its position on the international stage through partnerships like the Chip 4 Alliance (U.S., Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan). Additionally, while excluding China from important tech ecosystems, cooperative R&D with allies helps pool resources for AI breakthroughs. The United States can limit China's advancements while advancing democratic norms in the global governance of AI thanks to this dual strategy.[16]

VI CHINA AI Strategy:

A. Technological Self-Sufficiency:

The need to lessen dependency on foreign technology and U.S. export restrictions are the main drivers behind China's drive for AI independence. With a focus on domestic research and development in semiconductors, quantum computing, and brain-inspired AI, the "New Generation Artificial Intelligence Development Plan" (2017) provides a three-step roadmap to attain global AI leadership by 2030. China's emphasis on independence is demonstrated by its leadership in supercomputing (42% of global systems) and AI patent filings (100+ organizations in the global top 500). The China Brain Project combines neuroscience and artificial intelligence with the goal of becoming a leader in brain-computer interfaces, a field with potential for dual military applications.[17].Chinese companies like Deep Seek and Huawei have developed alternatives like the Ascend series and optimized algorithms for restricted hardware (like H800 chips) despite restrictions on advanced chips like Nvidia's H100. However, their performance lags behind that of their U.S. counterparts. But there are still issues with producing high-end GPUs and semiconductors, which is why the state is investing more in domestic foundries like SMIC. China funds smart cities and AI hubs in Africa and Southeast Asia, thereby positioning itself as a counterbalance to U.S. tech dominance.[18]

B. AI's Role in Global Influence:

Through programs like the Digital Silk Road, providing AI infrastructure to developing countries, and influencing international standards through organizations like the International Telecommunication Union (ITU), China uses AI to increase its geopolitical influence. In line with its "common prosperity" objectives, China exports its tech governance model through AI-driven platforms (like TikTok and Alibaba Cloud) and surveillance technologies (like facial recognition). China leads the world in AI publications in academia (39.8% of total output) and promotes international cooperation (such as partnerships with the Tsinghua-Allen Institute), strengthening its soft power.【 19】.

C. Government Policies and Support:

- *Funding:*While local governments (like Shanghai) promised ∗ 52 billion for metaverse clusters by 2025, the National Natural Science Foundation allotted 6660 million (2022) for AI research.
- *Education:*In order to develop interdisciplinary talent, the NEM approach uses PBL and flipped
- Classrooms to update curricula with AI/data science courses. [20].
- *Industry-Academia Links:* Research and commercialization are connected by labs such as Tsinghua-Tencent Joint Lab and the Beijing Academy of AI, which developed Wu Dao 2.0.
- *Regulation:* China's dual emphasis on innovation and control is reflected in the Personal Information Protection Law (2021), which requires algorithmic transparency.

A fragmented global AI landscape as a result of U.S.-China decoupling and ethical issues (such as surveillance and labour exploitation in the metaverse, or "immaterial labour") are among the challenges.

VII. ETHICAL AND SECURITY CONCERNS:

A. Data Privacy and Surveillance:

There are significant worries regarding mass surveillance and the privacy of personal data raised by China's approach to AI governance. State interests are closely linked to the nation's AI development, resulting in extensive data collection methods that lack sufficient transparency or individual consent. The extent of surveillance capabilities used in the name of security and order is demonstrated by the Chinese government's use of AI in programs like the Social Credit System [21]. Local governments frequently put political goals ahead of individual rights, and regulatory enforcement is still dispersed despite recent initiatives like the Personal Information Protection Law (PIPL) [22].

B. Potential for AI Misuse: Censorship and Misinformation:

China's advanced censorship and propaganda systems have been made possible in large part by AI. The abuse of AI for information control threatens digital freedom and global information integrity, from real-time online content filtering to AI-generated narratives that support state ideologies. Furthermore, the spread of state-sponsored digital oppression may result from the exportation of such AI technologies to other authoritarian governments. [23]

C. Global AI Ethics Initiatives:

There are still significant differences between China's domestic practices and internationally suggested frameworks, despite the fact that China has taken part in international discussions on AI ethics. In contrast, the United States has prioritized national security goals and export restrictions, sometimes at the expense of cooperative governance models. Both powers must participate in inclusive discussions that incorporate democratic values, data protection, and mechanisms for mutual accountability in order to develop AI in a sustainable and moral manner [24].

VIII. US China AI Collaboration Scenarios:

U.S.-China AI Collaboration Scenarios: From Rivalry to Strategic Partnership:

Historically, the U.S.-China AI competition has fuelled quick advancements in both innovation and implementation. However, new research indicates that a strategic move toward cooperation might benefit technological advancement and international security more. A substantial amount of the world's high-impact AI research is produced in both countries, suggesting complementary potential rather than outright competition [25]. The robustness and equity of global AI systems can be improved while reducing redundant efforts by coordinating efforts on large-scale model training, safe deployment procedures, and benchmarking standards, for instance [26]. Cooperative

AI research labs, international academic fellowships, and shared policy platforms may signal a shift from zero-sum competition to positive-sum strategic alliances in place of compartmentalized progress [27].

A. Advancing Responsible AI Through Bilateral Collaboration

Development of ethical AI cannot be accomplished in a vacuum. It is the duty of the United States and China, the two most powerful AI nations, to set the standard for responsible AI worldwide. The international community as a whole may benefit from working together on cooperative frameworks for algorithmic auditing, AI safety validation, and societal impact assessments. Bilateral forums between U.S. and Chinese AI experts can enable open dialogue on model alignment, ethical boundaries of use (such as surveillance and predictive policing), and explainability due to their technological and financial scale. Crucially, intergovernmental working groups devoted to responsible AI transitions across industries such as healthcare, education, and law are required, as are shared repositories of safe AI practices [28].

B. International Cooperation for Sustainable AI Governance

A coordinated, international response is necessary to address global AI challenges, which range from disinformation to autonomous weapons. Co-leading multilateral engagements through organizations like the G20, OECD, and UN will put the U.S. and China in the best position to start this momentum. The United States and China could help create internationally recognized standards on model transparency, human rights in AI use, and cross-border model deployment policies by sponsoring inclusive international AI summits. U.S.-China collaboration could create ethical implementation models and shared AI development protocols that would greatly benefit third-party countries, especially those in the Global South [29].

IX. CONCLUSION:

- DeepSeek's ascent represents a turning point in the global AI race and underscores China's increasing ability to subvert American technological dominance through innovation, calculated policymaking, and state-sponsored development. China's rapidly developing capabilities are demonstrated by the fact that, as of 2024, it contributes to 19% of global AI patents and more than 30% of the world's AI research publications, outpacing the US in sheer output. This momentum is further accelerated by DeepSeek's affordable, open-source models, like DeepSeek-V2 and DeepSeek-Coder, which democratize access to large language models and lower the cost of developing AI by up to 40% when compared to their proprietary counterparts.
- These advancements not only upend conventional AI economics, but they also reshape the global technology governance power structure. It is impossible to overestimate the strategic significance of leadership in this field, as the global AI market is expected to reach $1.8 trillion by 2030. The competition to establish international AI standards, digital sovereignty, and surveillance ethics are

now all part of the U.S.-China AI rivalry, which goes beyond innovation and economics.

- Proactive, cooperative, and morally sound approaches are essential for researchers, policymakers, and international organizations to traverse this complicated and quickly changing environment. For AI to remain inclusive, safe, and consistent with democratic values in a world that is becoming more and more multipolar, strategic investments, open governance, and multilateral cooperation will be essential.

References:

1. Allen, R. M. (2025). DeepSeek and AI Innovation: How Chinese Universities Broke Through the Glass Ceiling of Technological Advancement.
2. Mok, W. (2025). DeepSeek's Open-Source Revolution: Redefining the Future of AI Innovation.
3. Youvan, D. C. (2025). DeepSeek and China's AI Renaissance: The Rise of the Four Dragons and Six Tigers.
4. Youvan, D. C. (2025). Trump and Musk: Mindless Coincidences or Techno-Fascism?
5. George, A. S. (2025). AI Supremacy at the Price of Privacy: Examining the Tech Giants' Race for Data Dominance.
6. CNBC – How China's new AI model DeepSeek is threatening U.S. dominance https://www.cnbc.com/2025/01/24/how-chinas-new-ai-model-deepseek-is-threatening-us-dominance.html
7. [Reuters – China's DeepSeek releases AI model upgrade, intensifies rivalry with OpenAI https://www.reuters.com/technology/artificial-intelligence/chinas-deepseek-releases-ai-model-upgrade-intensifies-rivalry-with-openai-2025-03-25/.
8. Bloomberg (via Yahoo Finance) – China Floods the World with AI Models After DeepSeek Success https://finance.yahoo.com/news/china-floods-world-ai-models-144650368.html

First Research Paper Citation:

9. Okaiyeto, S. A., Bai, J., Wang, J., Mujumdar, A. S., & Xiao, H. (2025). Success of DeepSeek and potential benefits of free access to AI for global-scale use. International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering, 18(1), 304-306. https://doi.org/10.25165/j.jabe.2025.8b1.3733
10. Avizeet, K. (2025). DeepSeek vs. OpenAI, ChatGPT and Gemini: A comparative exploration. Medium. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/38848337
11. Neupane, K. (2025). DeepSeek AI: The game changer to the world. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/38849887
12. Paper Title: 2503.07676v1 This paper discusses China's AI advancements, DeepSeek's role, and how it challenges U.S. dominance in AI leadership.

13. Paper Title: The Influence of U.S.-China Relations on the Current AI Landscape This paper analyses the impact of AI competition on diplomatic ties between the U.S. and China, particularly how AI governance models influence international alliances.
14. Paper Title: The Geopolitical Influence of China on U.S. Business Deals: Strategic Implications Across Key Sectors This paper explores AI as a strategic asset, its role in global power dynamics, and how China's AI policies reshape global markets and security frameworks.
15. Victor Habib Lantyer, How U.S. Trade Sanctions Fuelled Chinese Innovation in AI: The DeepSeek Case
16. Dario Amodei, On DeepSeek and Export Controls
17. Vykhodets, R. S. China's AI Strategy
18. De Masi, V. et al. China's Policies and Investments in Metaverse and AI Development
19. Lantyer, V. H. How U.S. Trade Sanctions Fuelled Chinese Innovation in AI
20. Wang, S. & Chang, C. AI-Driven Data Science Curriculum Construction
21. He, M., & Chen, Y. (2024). Personal Data Protection in China: Progress, Challenges and Prospects in the Age of Big Data and AI. SSRN. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5187704
22. Ibid. – Constitutional development and inclusion of "privacy" in Chinese legal frameworks.
23. Ibid. – Challenges in enforcement and regulatory fragmentation.
24. Ibid. – Recommendations for graded consent frameworks, privacy impact assessments, and institutional reforms.

Citations:

25. China and the U.S. Produce More Impactful AI Research
26. From Past to Future: Rethinking the Evolution of China's AI Strategy
27. Sources of AI Innovation: More Than a U.S.-China Rivalry
28. Review Essay: China-U.S. Great Power Relations in the 21st Century
29. 2407.16903v1 – Strategic AI Governance through Multilateral Channels