INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES
(Peer-reviewed, Refereed, Indexed & Open Access Journal)
DOI : 03.2021-11278686 ISSN : 2582-8568 IMPACT FACTOR : 8.428 (SJIF 2026)

Ecological Overshoot as a Structural Driver of Twenty-First Century Global Crises: A Social Science Analysis with Reference to India

Izhar Ahmad

Associate Professor,
Department of Geography,
Dharma Samaj College,
Aligarh (Uttar Pradesh, India)

DOI No. 03.2021-11278686 **DOI Link ::** https://doi-ds.org/doilink/07.2026-16225522/IRJHIS2607021

Abstract:

The twenty-first century is marked by interconnected crises including climate change, biodiversity loss, water scarcity, food insecurity, migration pressures and widening inequality. While these challenges are often addressed separately, this paper argues that many are linked through a deeper systemic condition: ecological overshoot, wherein human demand on ecosystems exceeds the Earth's regenerative capacity. Drawing on the IPAT identity, Kaya decomposition and planetary boundaries literature, the study develops a social science framework for understanding overshoot as a structural driver that interacts with governance failures, unequal distribution and technological pathways. Using secondary data from international agencies and Indian policy sources, the paper evaluates global trends and examines India as a critical case of demographic transition, rapid urbanization, rising consumption and ecological stress. India illustrates the paradox of development under constraint: declining fertility and economic modernization coexist with groundwater depletion, air pollution, land degradation and infrastructure deficits. The paper argues that overshoot should be understood not as a single cause of all crises, but as a threat multiplier that intensifies existing vulnerabilities. It proposes a multidimensional policy response centred on voluntary population stabilization, women's empowerment, circular economy transitions, efficient urban planning, ecological pricing reforms, renewable energy expansion and social protection systems. The study concludes that durable peace, prosperity and social justice require development strategies that bring human demand back within ecological limits while safeguarding equity.

Keywords: *Ecological Overshoot; Sustainability; Climate Change; Demography; Development Policy*

1. Introduction:

The global political economy of the twenty-first century is increasingly shaped by multiple, simultaneous crises. Climate instability, water stress, biodiversity decline, forced migration, food insecurity and widening socio-economic inequalities now interact across borders and institutions. These challenges are commonly studied as separate policy domains, each with its own governance architecture and technical vocabulary. Yet, a growing body of interdisciplinary scholarship suggests

that many contemporary crises share common structural roots in the relationship between human societies and ecological systems.

One of the most useful concepts for interpreting this relationship is ecological overshoot, widely used in sustainability metrics and ecological accounting (Global Footprint Network, 2025). Ecological overshoot refers to the condition in which aggregate human demand for biological resources and ecosystem services exceeds the regenerative capacity of the biosphere. In practical terms, societies consume forests faster than they regrow, extract groundwater faster than aquifers recharge, emit carbon faster than ecosystems can absorb it and convert habitats faster than biodiversity can recover. Overshoot therefore represents not merely environmental decline, but a systemic imbalance between patterns of production, consumption, population dynamics and planetary limits.

This paper argues that ecological overshoot functions as a structural driver of many twenty-first century crises. It does not claim that overshoot is the sole cause of conflict, poverty, or instability. Rather, overshoot amplifies vulnerabilities created by weak governance, unequal institutions and technological lock-ins. Resource scarcity can intensify social tensions; climate disruption can undermine livelihoods; degraded ecosystems can reduce resilience to shocks. Accordingly, overshoot should be analysed as a threat multiplier with deep implications for development and security.

India offers an especially significant case study. As one of the world's largest populations and fastest-growing major economies, India combines demographic transition, rising consumption aspirations, rapid urbanization and significant environmental stress. The Indian case demonstrates both the risks of development under ecological constraint and the opportunities for sustainable transformation.

This study has four objectives: (1) to conceptualize ecological overshoot using leading analytical frameworks; (2) to examine its relationship with major global crises; (3) to analyse India as a case of development under ecological pressure; and (4) to identify policy pathways for socially just sustainability transitions.

2. Literature Review:

The contemporary literature on sustainability has increasingly moved beyond narrow environmental management toward systemic critiques of economic growth, consumption and resource use. Within ecological economics, early works emphasized that economies are embedded within biophysical systems and therefore cannot expand indefinitely on a finite planet. This perspective challenged conventional growth models that treated nature primarily as an external input.

The Limits to Growth debate renewed attention to the possibility that unchecked material expansion could exceed ecological carrying capacity. Although contested in methodology, subsequent decades of evidence on climate change, biodiversity decline and resource depletion have revived interest in planetary limits. The planetary boundaries framework further advanced this discussion by

identifying key Earth-system thresholds linked to climate regulation, biosphere integrity, land-system change, freshwater use and biogeochemical flows.

Development scholarship has simultaneously highlighted the unequal distribution of both resource use and environmental harm. High-income societies historically generated disproportionate emissions and material throughput, while low-income populations often face the severest impacts of drought, floods, displacement and livelihood erosion. Political ecology scholars therefore argue that environmental crises are shaped not only by aggregate pressure, but by power, institutions and inequality.

Sociological approaches such as risk society theory suggest that modernity increasingly generates manufactured risks that transcend national boundaries. Climate change, toxic pollution and ecological instability cannot be contained within traditional governance frameworks. These risks disproportionately affect populations with lower adaptive capacity.

Recent scholarship also debates whether green growth can sufficiently decouple economic expansion from ecological pressure. Optimistic perspectives emphasize efficiency gains, renewable energy transitions, dematerialization and circular production systems. More critical scholars argue that rebound effects, continued material extraction and rising aggregate demand often offset efficiency gains. This debate is central to the overshoot discussion because it asks whether technological modernization alone can resolve ecological imbalance.

Another major strand of literature concerns environmental justice. Communities with lower income, insecure housing, informal employment, or limited political voice are more likely to experience pollution exposure, flood risk, heat stress and displacement. Thus, ecological stress is simultaneously a question of limits and fairness.

A key gap in existing scholarship is the fragmentation of crisis analysis. Climate, migration, food systems, water governance and inequality are often treated separately. This paper contributes by synthesizing these domains through the lens of ecological overshoot while retaining attention to distribution, governance and technological change.

3. Theoretical Framework:

This study employs four complementary frameworks.

3.1 IPAT Identity: The IPAT identity expresses environmental impact as the product of Population, Affluence and Technology. It highlights that ecological pressure emerges from interactions between demographic scale, consumption intensity and production systems.

3.2 Kaya Identity: The Kaya identity decomposes carbon emissions into population, GDP per capita, energy intensity and carbon intensity. It is especially useful for analysing climate outcomes within broader development trajectories.

3.3 Planetary Boundaries Framework: The planetary boundaries approach identifies ecological

thresholds beyond which Earth-system stability may be compromised. It provides a macro-level benchmark for evaluating whether human demand remains within safe operating space.

3.4 Social Vulnerability Perspective: Environmental stress does not affect all populations equally. Social vulnerability theory explains how class, gender, region, employment status and institutional exclusion shape exposure and resilience. This is essential for analysing India, where ecological pressures intersect with social inequality.

4. Research Methodology:

This paper adopts a qualitative analytical research design based on secondary data and comparative policy analysis. Sources include reports from the United Nations, World Bank, IPCC, Global Footprint Network, FAO, IEA, NITI Aayog and peer-reviewed scholarship published between 2009 and 2026. Comparative interpretive analysis is used to identify relationships among demographic change, consumption trends, ecological stress and socio-economic outcomes.

5. Global Ecological Overshoot and Contemporary Crises:

Indicator	Latest Approx. Status
Global Population	8+ billion
Earth Overshoot Day	Late July
Atmospheric CO2	420+ ppm
Water Stress Population	2+ billion
Renewable Transition	Expanding but uneven

Global ecological indicators suggest that human demand has risen sharply over the past five decades. Population growth, urbanization, rising incomes and material-intensive production have expanded pressure on land, water, energy and waste sinks.

The post-1970 development era has seen dramatic increases in energy use, transport intensity, global trade volumes, fertilizer dependence and urban construction. While these changes supported economic expansion, they also intensified pressure on atmospheric sinks, freshwater systems, soils and biodiversity.

5.1 Climate Change:

Overshoot is closely linked to atmospheric overload. Fossil-fuel dependent development has increased greenhouse gas concentrations beyond pre-industrial levels, intensifying warming, heatwaves, floods and sea-level rise. Climate risks increasingly affect agriculture through heat stress, shifting monsoons, drought frequency and flood volatility. These impacts are particularly severe where livelihoods remain climate-sensitive.

5.2 Food and Water Stress:

Agriculture remains the largest user of freshwater globally. Simultaneously, land degradation,

groundwater depletion and changing rainfall patterns threaten food security. Demand-side growth magnifies these risks.

5.3 Biodiversity Loss:

Habitat conversion, overfishing, pollution and invasive species have accelerated biodiversity decline. Ecosystem degradation undermines pollination, soil fertility, fisheries and climate resilience.

5.4 Migration and Instability:

Environmental displacement increasingly occurs within countries as well as across borders. Coastal erosion, recurrent floods, droughts and livelihood collapse can generate temporary, seasonal, or permanent migration. Urban systems then face additional pressures of housing, employment and public services. Environmental shocks can interact with poverty, weak governance and conflict to produce displacement. Ecological stress should not be viewed as a sole cause of migration, but as an important compounding factor.

5.5 Inequality:

The ecological footprint of affluent groups is substantially higher than that of poorer populations. Yet low-income communities frequently bear disproportionate exposure to pollution, disasters and livelihood loss. Overshoot therefore has distributive dimensions.

6. India as a Case Study of Development Under Ecological Constraint

Variable	Approx. Current Status
Population	1.4+ billion
Urbanization	~36%
Fertility Rate	Near replacement
Renewable Capacity	Rapidly expanding
Key Risks	Water, air pollution, land stress

India represents one of the most consequential sustainability cases of the twenty-first century. Its scale, growth trajectory and developmental ambitions mean that its policy choices will shape global outcomes.

6.1 Demographic Transition:

India has experienced a substantial fertility decline over recent decades, with many states reaching or falling below replacement levels. However, demographic momentum and youthful age structures continue to generate large absolute numbers and expanding service demand.

6.2 Urbanization and Infrastructure Pressure:

India's urban transition is historically significant because hundreds of millions of citizens are expected to require improved housing, mobility, sanitation, cooling and digital infrastructure over coming decades. Whether these needs are met through resource-intensive sprawl or efficient compact planning will strongly shape ecological outcomes. Rapid urbanization has increased demand for

housing, transport, energy, sanitation and water systems. Where planning lags, cities experience congestion, informal settlements, waste accumulation and severe air pollution.

6.3 Water and Land Stress:

Several river basins face seasonal stress, while groundwater extraction has exceeded recharge rates in many agricultural zones. Land fragmentation, declining soil quality and climate variability create long-term challenges for rural resilience. Groundwater depletion is a major concern in several Indian states. Simultaneously, land degradation, soil erosion and climate variability threaten agricultural sustainability.

6.4 Energy Transition:

India has expanded renewable energy capacity significantly, especially solar power. Yet coal remains central to electricity generation, creating a dual challenge of development needs and decarbonization.

6.5 Social Equity Dimension:

Workers in informal sectors, women in resource-dependent households, small farmers and low-income urban residents often possess the least adaptive capacity despite contributing comparatively little to ecological pressure. This underlines the need for a just transition framework.

6.6 Strategic Opportunity for India:

India also possesses major advantages: a young workforce, expanding renewable energy base, digital public infrastructure, entrepreneurial capacity and strong experience in large-scale welfare delivery. If aligned effectively, these assets can support a globally significant sustainable development model. Ecological pressures intersect with poverty, caste, regional disparities and labour informality. Sustainable transition in India must therefore be inclusive rather than purely technocratic.

7. Policy Pathways for Sustainable Transition:

A credible response to overshoot requires integrated and equitable policy design.

1. **Human Development First:** Expand women's education, healthcare and reproductive autonomy to support voluntary demographic stabilization.
2. **Circular Economy:** Promote repairability, recycling, material efficiency and waste reduction.
3. **Urban Reform:** Invest in public transport, compact planning, green buildings and affordable housing.
4. **Water Governance:** Metering, recharge systems, watershed restoration and efficient irrigation.
5. **Energy Transition:** Accelerate renewables, storage, grid modernization and clean cooking transitions.
6. **Ecological Pricing:** Rationalize fossil-fuel subsidies and incorporate pollution costs where feasible.

7. **Social Protection:** Ensure workers and vulnerable communities are protected during sustainability transitions.
8. **Behavioural Change:** Encourage moderate consumption and low-carbon lifestyles.
9. **Green Industrial Policy:** Incentivize domestic manufacturing in batteries, clean transport, recycling systems, efficient appliances and low-carbon materials.
10. **Data-Driven Governance:** Use satellite monitoring, smart metering and open data dashboards for water, pollution and land-use management.
11. **Education for Sustainability:** Embed ecological literacy in schools, universities and professional training systems.

8. Limitations of the Overshoot Thesis:

The overshoot framework is analytically powerful but should not be overstated. First, crises also arise from governance failures, corruption, war and institutional breakdown. Second, aggregate ecological metrics may obscure inequality in responsibility. Third, technological innovation can partially decouple growth from resource intensity, though evidence remains mixed. Fourth, national contexts differ substantially. Accordingly, overshoot is best interpreted as a structural pressure interacting with political and social variables, rather than a monocausal explanation.

9. Conclusion:

Ecological overshoot offers a valuable lens for understanding why climate instability, water stress, biodiversity decline, displacement pressures and inequality increasingly converge in the twenty-first century. Human systems have expanded in scale and intensity faster than many ecological systems can regenerate. Yet overshoot does not operate in isolation; it interacts with governance quality, technological choices and social inequality.

The Indian case demonstrates both the seriousness of the challenge and the possibility of transformation. Fertility transition, renewable energy expansion, digital governance tools and growing policy awareness create opportunities for a new development pathway. However, unmanaged urbanization, groundwater depletion, coal dependence and uneven social outcomes remain significant risks.

The central policy task is therefore not to halt development, but to redesign it. Development in the twenty-first century must be measured not only by GDP growth, but by resilience, equity, ecological efficiency and quality of life. Economies must improve well-being while reducing material intensity, protecting ecosystems and distributing opportunities fairly. If pursued seriously, such a transition can align prosperity with planetary limits.

References:

1. Dasgupta, P. (2021). *The economics of biodiversity: The Dasgupta review*.
2. Ehrlich, P. R., & Holdren, J. P. (1971). Impact of population growth. *Science, 171*(3977),

1212–1217.

3. Global Footprint Network. (2025). *Earth Overshoot Day report*. Oakland, CA: Global Footprint Network.
4. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2022). *Sixth assessment report*.
5. Meadows, D. H., Meadows, D. L., Randers, J., & Behrens, W. (1972). *The limits to growth*.
6. NITI Aayog. (2019). *Composite water management index*.
7. Rockström, J., et al. (2009). A safe operating space for humanity. *Nature, 461*, 472–475.
8. United Nations. (2022). *World population prospects 2022*. New York: United Nations.
9. UN-Habitat. (2020). *World cities report 2020*.
10. World Bank. (2023). *World development indicators*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
11. World Wildlife Fund. (2020). *Living planet report 2020*.